

Cheshire East Council

Community Governance Review Part One: Report

Section 1: Introduction

Background and methodology

Between 28th October 2019 and January 31st 2020 Cheshire East residents and other stakeholders were invited to provide comments and views on how effective they felt current governance arrangements were and if they felt changes, if any, were required and why.

The comments and views received will form part of a review of town and parish council governance arrangements across the Cheshire East Borough. The overarching purpose of the review is in accordance with the 'Department of Communities and Local Government and Local Government Boundary Commission for England guidance on Community Governance Reviews' [LGBCE Guidance] to “ensure that community governance arrangements continue to reflect local identities and facilitate effective and convenient local government.”

The Council has also undertaken some preliminary analysis of each of the town/ parish council wards within the borough including details of current electorate and councillor representation, together with electorate growth forecasts that take account of expected future house-building. The council has also received a small number of requests from parish councils to review their specific governance arrangements.

The online survey was promoted via a media release, on the council's website and on social media platforms. Paper copies were sent to libraries and key contact centres and an engagement event with town and parish councils was held. Overall 276 responses were received either via the online/ paper survey or sent by e-mail.

The aim now is to review and assess all the preliminary analysis conducted alongside the comments and views received. Once this has been completed we will develop some draft proposals which will be the subject of a formal consultation at a later date.

Production date: 26/02/2019

Report produced by:

Research and Consultation, Business Intelligence, Cheshire East Council, Westfields, Middlewich Road, Sandbach, CW11 1HZ

Email: RandC@cheshireeast.gov.uk

Table of Contents

Section 1: Introduction	1
Section 2: Summary Tables	8
Table 1: Which of the following best describes how you are responding to this survey?	8
Table 2: Overall indication of whether it is felt a change is required or not: <i>(please note that not everyone provided a response and therefore the numbers do not add up to 276)</i>	8
Table 3: Change / No Change: Open comments by overall theme	8
Table 4: Agreement or disagreement that the parish/ town ward you are answering for reflects local identities and interests	9
Table 5: Agreement or disagreement that the parish/ town ward you are answering for .provides an effective and convenient local government	12
Table 6: Reflects local identities & interests / effective & convenient comments by overall theme	Open 15
Section 3: Responses by Town/Parish Council Ward.....	16
Acton - 1 representation received	16
Adlington - no representation received.....	16
Alderley Edge - 6 representations received	16
Alpraham - no representation received.....	17
Alsager - Central - 2 representations received	17
Alsager - East - no representation received.....	18
Alsager - West - 1 representation received	18
Arclid - 1 representation received	18
Ashley - no representation received.....	18
Aston By Budworth - no representation received	18
Aston Justa Mondrum - no representation received.....	18
Audlem - 1 representation received	19
Austerson - no representation received	19
Baddiley - no representation received.....	19
Baddington - no representation received.....	19
Barthomoly - 1 representation received.....	19
Basford - no representation received	19
Batherton - no representation received	19
Betchton - no representation received.....	19
Bickerton - no representation received	19
Blakenhall - no representation received.....	20
Bollington Central - 4 representations received	20
Bollington East - 1 representation received	20

Bollington West - 1 representation received	21
Bosley- no representation received	21
Bradwell - 1 representation received	21
Brereton - 18 representations received	21
Bridgemere - no representation received	29
Brindley - 1 representation received	29
Broomhall - no representation received	29
Buerton- no representation received	29
Bulkeley- 2 representations received	30
Bunbury - 5 representations received	30
Burland - 2 representations received	31
Calveley - 1 representation received	32
Checkley Cum Wrinehill - no representation received	32
Chelford - 1 representation received	32
Cholmonderston - 1 representation received	32
Chorley - Wilmslow & Chorley - 3 representations received	33
Chorley - Wrenbury - no representation received	33
Chorlton - 1 representation received	33
Church Lawton - 1 representation received	33
Church Minshull - 1 representation received	34
Congleton East - 7 representations received	34
Congleton West - 9 representations received	36
Coole Pilate - no representation received	38
Cranage - 6 representations received	38
Crewe Central - no representation received	40
Crewe - East - no representation received	40
Crewe - North- no representation received	40
Crewe - South - no representation received	40
Crewe - St Barnabas - no representation received	40
Crewe - West - 1 representation received	40
Crewe Green - no representation received	40
Disley - 5 representations received	40
Dodcott Cum Wilkesley - No representation received	42
Doddington - No representation received	42
Eaton - 1 representation received	42
Edleston - 1 representation received	42
Egerton - No representation received	42

Faddiley - No representation received.....	42
Gawsworth - Moss- No representation received.....	43
Gawsworth - Village- 2 representation received	43
Goostrey - 3 representations received	43
Great Warford - No representation received	44
Handforth - East - 1 representation received	44
Handforth - South- 4 representations received.....	45
Handforth - West- 3 representations received.....	47
Hankelow - no representation received	48
Haslington - Haslington Village - 2 representations received.....	48
Haslington - Oakhanger - 1 representations received	49
Haslington - Winterley - 4 representations received.....	50
Hassall - no representation received	51
Hatherton - no representation received.....	51
Henbury- 1 representation received.....	51
Henhull - no representation received	51
High Legh - 1 representation received	51
Higher Hurdsfield - 7 representations received.....	51
Holmes Chapel - 7 representations received	54
Hough - 1 representation received	55
Hulme Walfield - Hulme Walfield - 4 representation received.....	55
Hunsterson - 1 representation received	57
Hurleston - no representation received.....	57
Kettleshulme - no representation received	57
Knutsford - Bexton - 2 representations received.....	57
Knutsford - Nether- no representation received	58
Knutsford - Norbury - 1 representation received	58
Knutsford - Over- 3 representations received	58
Lea - no representation received	59
Leighton - Rural - no representation received	59
Leighton - Urban - 2 representations received.....	59
Little Warford - no representation received.....	60
Lower Withington - no representation received	60
Lyme Handley - no representation received.....	60
Macclesfield - Broken Cross - 2 representations received.....	60
Macclesfield - Central - 5 representations received	61
Macclesfield - East - no representation received	61

Macclesfield - Hurdsfield - 1 representations received	61
Macclesfield - South - 2 representations received	62
Macclesfield - Tytherington- 6 representations received.....	62
Macclesfield - West - 3 representations received	64
Marbury Cum Quioisley - 3 representations received	65
Marton - 1 representations received	65
Mere- no representation received.....	65
Middlewich - Cledford - 1 representations received	66
Middlewich - Kinderton- no representation received	66
Millington - no representation received	66
Minshull Vernon - 1 representations received	66
Mobberley- no representation received.....	66
Moston - no representation received	66
Mottram - Newton - 1 representations received	66
Mottram -St Andrew - 4 representations received.....	67
Nantwich - North - 11 representation received.....	68
Nantwich - South- 7 representations received	71
Nether Alderley - 1 representation received	72
Newbold - Astbury - 1 representation received	72
Newbold - Moreton - no representation received	72
Newhall - no representation received	72
Norbury - no representation received	73
North Rode - no representation received	73
Odd Rode - Mount Pleasant - no representation received.....	73
Odd Rode - Scholar Green - no representation received.....	73
Ollerton - Marthall - no representation received	73
Ollerton - Ollerton - no representation received.....	73
Over Alderley - no representation received	73
Peover Superior - no representation received	73
Pickmere- 1 representation received.....	73
Plumley - Toft & Bexton - no representation received	73
Plumley - Plumley - no representation received.....	73
Pott Shrigley - no representation received	73
Poynton - East - 6 representations received.....	74
Poynton - West - 3 representations received	75
Prestbury - Fallibroome - 1 representation received.....	76
Prestbury - Butley - no representation received	76

Prestbury - Prestbury - 1 representation received	77
Rainow - 1 representation received.....	78
Ridley - 2 representation received	79
Rope - no representation received	79
Rostherene- no representation received.....	79
Sandbach - Elworth - 1 representation received	79
Sandbach - Ettiley Heath - no representation received.....	79
Sandbach - Heath - no representation received	79
Sandbach - Town - 1 representation received	80
Shavington - Gresty Brook - 1 representation received	80
Siddington - no representation received	81
Smallwood - 1 representation received.....	81
Snelson - 2 representation received	81
Somerford - 7 representations received.....	82
Sound - no representation received	83
Spurstow - no representation received	83
Stapeley - 4 representations received	84
Stoke - no representation received.....	85
Styal - 1 representation received	85
Sutton - Langley - no representation received.....	85
Sutton - Lyme Green- no representation received	85
Sutton - Lane Ends - no representation received	85
Sutton - Rural - no representation received	85
Swettenham - no representation received	85
Tabley - no representation received	85
Tatton - 1 representation received	85
Tabley - no representation received	85
Twemlow- no representation received.....	85
Walgherton - no representation received	85
Wardle- no representation received.....	85
Warmingham - no representation received	86
Weston - Village - 2 representations received.....	86
Weston - Wychwood - 2 representations received	86
Wettenhall - 2 representations received	87
Wilaston - Village - 3 representations received.....	87
Wilaston - North- 4 representations received	88
Wilmslow - Dean Row- 2 representations received.....	88

Wilmslow - East - 4 representations received 89

Wilmslow - Lacey Green - 1 representation received 89

Wilmslow - West - 11 representations received 90

Wirsall - No representation received 91

Wistaston - St Marys - 1 representations received 91

Wistaston - Wells Grean - 1 representation received 91

Woolstanwood - 1 representation received 92

Worleston - No representation received 92

Wrenbury Cum Frith - 1 representation received 92

Wybunbury - 5 representation received 92

General response - no specific town/parish council 5 representations received 94

Section 2: Summary Tables

Questions were asked within the survey to gain an understanding of how respondents felt of the current governance arrangements and whether, in their opinion, a change was required or not. Respondents were given the option to answer for more than one parish/town council ward if required. The table below shows a summary of the responses given. Section 3 of the report shows all the verbatim comments received.

Table 1: Which of the following best describes how you are responding to this survey?

Row Labels	Count	%
As an Individual	201	73%
On behalf of a town/parish council	56	20%
As an elected (parish/town or ward) councillor	11	4%
On behalf of a group, organisation or club	6	2%
As a former elected (parish/town or ward) councillor	1	>1%
On behalf of a local business	1	>1%
Total	276	100%

Table 2: Overall indication of whether it is felt a change is required or not: (please note that not everyone provided a response and therefore the numbers do not add up to 276)

Row Labels	Count	%
Change	136	52%
No change	105	40%
Unsure/ don't know	20	8%
Total	261	100%

Table 3: Change / No Change: Open comments by overall theme

Theme	References
Change	213
Enlarge town council	33
Include border properties	33
Administrative/ economic reasons	25
Too large/ Split into smaller areas	21
Not enough Councillors for population	21
No local facilities/ services	21
Lack of powers/ funds	15
Consider natural boundaries/ canal/ railway	13
Councillors don't listen/ act on concerns	11
Greater centralisation	10
Too small	7
Using neighbouring facilities	2
More collaboration needed	1
Reduce the number of Councillors	1
Don't change	93
Wants to keep current Councillor(s)	24
Current administration working well	39
Merging with more rural/urban areas wouldn't be ideal	9
Correct size/population	19
Sceptical of politics behind any changes	2

Please note that for table 3. 203 respondents chose to leave a valid comment. Within their comment. respondents could have made reference to more than one theme and therefore the number of references is more than the number of comments received.

Table 4: Agreement or disagreement that the parish/ town ward you are answering for reflects local identities and interests

Row Labels	Count	Row labels	Count
Acton	1	Chorley - Wilmslow & Chorley	3
Strongly agree	1	Strongly agree	3
Alderley Edge	6	Chorlton	1
Strongly agree	2	Strongly agree	1
Tend to agree	3	Church Lawton	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Strongly agree	1
Alsager - Central	2	Church Minshull	1
Tend to agree	1	Strongly agree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Congleton - East	7
Alsager - West	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Tend to disagree	1	Strongly disagree	4
Arclid	1	Tend to agree	1
Tend to agree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Audlem	1	Congleton – West	8
Strongly agree	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Barthomley	1	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Bollington Central	4	Tend to agree	1
Strongly agree	1	Tend to disagree	4
Tend to agree	2	Cranage	6
Unsure/ don't know	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Bollington East	1	Strongly agree	2
Tend to agree	1	Tend to agree	2
Bollington West	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Crewe - West	1
Bradwall	1	Strongly disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Disley	5
Brereton	18	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Strongly agree	12	Strongly disagree	1
Tend to agree	6	Tend to agree	2
Brindley	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Eaton	1
Bulkeley	2	Strongly disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Edleston	1
Tend to disagree	1	Unsure/ don't know	1
Bunbury	5	Gawsworth – Village	1
Strongly agree	2	Tend to disagree	1
Tend to agree	3	General, no specific town/parish	4
Burland	2	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	2	Strongly disagree	2
Calveley	1	Tend to disagree	1
Tend to agree	1		
Chelford	1		
Tend to agree	1		
Cholmondeston	1		
Strongly disagree	1		

Row Labels	Count	Row labels	Count
Goostrey	3	Knutsford - Norbury	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Knutsford - Over	3
Tend to agree	1	Tend to disagree	3
Handforth - East	1	Leighton Urban	2
Strongly disagree	1	Strongly agree	1
Handforth - South	4	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly disagree	3	Macclesfield - Broken Cross	2
Tend to agree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Handforth - West	3	Tend to disagree	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Macclesfield - Central	5
Strongly agree	1	Neither agree nor disagree	2
Strongly disagree	1	Tend to agree	2
Haslington – Haslington	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Macclesfield - Hurdsfield	1
Haslington - Haslington Village	1	Tend to agree	1
Strongly agree	1	Macclesfield - South	2
Haslington - Oakhanger	1	Strongly disagree	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Haslington - Winterley	4	Macclesfield - Tytherington	6
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Strongly disagree	5
Strongly agree	2	Tend to disagree	1
Tend to disagree	1	Macclesfield - West	3
Henbury	1	Strongly disagree	1
Tend to disagree	1	Tend to agree	1
High Legh	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Marbury Cum Quioisley	3
Higher Hurdsfield	7	Strongly agree	2
Strongly agree	5	Tend to agree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Marton	1
Unsure/ don't know	1	Tend to disagree	1
Holmes Chapel	7	Middlewich - Cledford	1
Strongly agree	2	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly disagree	3	Minshall Vernon	1
Tend to agree	1	Strongly agree	1
Tend to disagree	1	Mottram - Newton	1
Hough	1	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	1	Mottram -St Andrew	4
Hulme Walfield - Hulme Walfield	4	Strongly agree	2
Strongly agree	1	Tend to agree	2
Strongly disagree	2	Nantwich - North	10
Tend to disagree	1	Strongly agree	2
Hulme Walfield- Somerford	3	Strongly disagree	4
Strongly agree	2	Tend to agree	2
Tend to agree	1	Tend to disagree	2
Hunsterson	1	Nantwich - South	7
Tend to agree	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Knutsford - Bexton	1	Strongly disagree	2
Strongly disagree	1	Tend to agree	4

Row Labels	Count	Row labels	Count
Nether Alderley	1	Weston - Village	1
Strongly agree	1	Strongly agree	1
Newbold - Astbury	1	Weston - Wychwood	2
Strongly agree	1	Tend to agree	1
Pickmere	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Wettenhall	2
Poynton - East	5	Strongly disagree	2
Strongly agree	3	Wilaston - Village	3
Tend to disagree	2	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Poynton - West	3	Strongly agree	1
Strongly disagree	2	Tend to agree	1
Tend to agree	1	Willaston - North	4
Prestbury - Fallibroome	1	Strongly agree	2
Tend to disagree	1	Tend to agree	2
Prestbury - Prestbury	1	Wilmslow - Dean Row	2
Strongly agree	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Rainow	1	Tend to agree	1
Strongly agree	1	Wilmslow - East	4
Ridley	2	Strongly disagree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Tend to disagree	2
Tend to disagree	1	Unsure/ don't know	1
Sandbach - Elworth	1	Wilmslow - Lacey Green	1
Strongly agree	1	Tend to agree	1
Shavington - Gresty Brook	1	Wilmslow - West	11
Strongly disagree	1	Neither agree nor disagree	2
Shavington - Shavington	3	Strongly agree	3
Strongly agree	1	Strongly disagree	2
Strongly disagree	1	Tend to agree	1
Tend to agree	1	Tend to disagree	2
Smallwood	1	Unsure/ don't know	1
Tend to agree	1	Wistaston - St Marys	1
Snelson	2	Tend to disagree	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Wistaston - Wells Grean	1
Tend to agree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Somerford	7	Woolstanwood	1
Strongly agree	4	Strongly agree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Wrenbury Cum Frith	1
Tend to agree	1	Strongly agree	1
Tend to disagree	1	Wybunbury	5
Stapeley	3	Strongly agree	3
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Tend to agree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Tend to agree	1		
Styal	1		
Tend to agree	1		
Tabley	1		
Neither agree nor disagree	1		

Table 5: Agreement or disagreement that the parish/ town ward you are answering for .provides an effective and convenient local government

Row Labels	Count	Row Labels	Count
Acton	1	Calveley	1
Strongly agree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Alderley Edge	6	Chelford	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Strongly agree	2	Cholmondeston	1
Strongly disagree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Tend to agree	2	Chorley	1
Alsager - Central	2	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Tend to agree	1	Chorley - Wilmslow & Chorley	2
Tend to disagree	1	Strongly agree	2
Alsager – West	1	Chorlton	1
Tend to disagree	1	Strongly agree	1
Arclid	1	Church Lawton	1
Strongly agree	1	Strongly agree	1
Audlem	1	Church Minshull	1
Strongly agree	1	Strongly agree	1
Barthomley	1	Congleton - East	7
Strongly agree	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Bollington Central	4	Strongly disagree	4
Strongly agree	2	Tend to agree	1
Tend to agree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Tend to disagree	1	Congleton - West	8
Bollington East	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Tend to agree	1	Strongly agree	1
Bollington West	1	Strongly disagree	2
Strongly disagree	1	Tend to disagree	4
Bradwall	1	Cranage	6
Strongly agree	1	Strongly agree	2
Brereton	18	Tend to agree	2
Strongly agree	12	Tend to disagree	2
Tend to agree	4	Crewe - West	1
Tend to disagree	2	Strongly disagree	1
Brindley	1	Disley	5
Strongly agree	1	Tend to agree	2
Bulkeley	2	Tend to disagree	3
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Eaton	1
Tend to agree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Bunbury	5	Edleston	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Unsure/ don't know	1
Strongly agree	1	Gawsworth - Village	1
Tend to agree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Tend to disagree	1		
Burland	2		
Strongly agree	1		
Strongly disagree	1		

Row Labels	Count	Row Labels	Count
General, no specific town/parish	4	Hulme Walfield- Somerford	3
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Strongly agree	2
Strongly agree	1	Tend to agree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Hunsterson	1
Tend to disagree	1	Tend to agree	1
Goostrey	3	Knutsford - Bexton	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Tend to agree	1	Knutsford - Norbury	1
Handforth - East	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Knutsford - Over	3
Handforth - South	4	Strongly disagree	2
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly disagree	3	Leighton Urban	2
Handforth - West	3	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly disagree	2	Macclesfield - Broken Cross	2
Haslington - Haslington	1	Strongly disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Haslington - Haslington Village	1	Macclesfield - Central	5
Strongly agree	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Haslington - Oakhanger	1	Tend to agree	4
Tend to agree	1	Macclesfield - Hurdsfield	1
Haslington - Winterley	4	Strongly agree	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Macclesfield - South	2
Strongly agree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Tend to agree	2	Tend to disagree	1
Henbury	1	Macclesfield - Tytherington	6
Tend to disagree	1	Strongly disagree	5
High Legh	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Macclesfield - West	3
Higher Hurdsfield	7	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	5	Strongly disagree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Unsure/ don't know	1	Marbury Cum Quioisley	3
Holmes Chapel	7	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	2	Tend to agree	2
Strongly disagree	3	Middlewich - Cledford	1
Tend to agree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Tend to disagree	1	Minshull - Vernon	1
Hough	1	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	1	Mottram - Newton	1
Hulme Walfield - Hulme Walfield	4	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	1	Nantwich - North	10
Strongly disagree	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Tend to disagree	2	Strongly agree	4
		Strongly disagree	5

Row Labels	Count	Row Labels	Count
Nantwich - South	7	Styal	1
Strongly agree	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly disagree	2	Tabley	1
Tend to agree	4	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Nether Alderley	1	Weston - Village	1
Tend to disagree	1	Strongly agree	1
Newbold - Astbury	1	Weston - Wychwood	2
Strongly agree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Pickmere	1	Tend to disagree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Wettenhall	2
Poynton - East	5	Strongly disagree	2
Strongly agree	3	Wilaston - Village	3
Tend to disagree	2	Strongly agree	1
Poynton - West	3	Tend to agree	1
Strongly disagree	2	Willaston - North	4
Tend to agree	1	Strongly agree	2
Prestbury - Fallibroome	1	Tend to agree	2
Tend to disagree	1	Wilmslow - Dean Row	2
Prestbury - Prestbury	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Strongly agree	1	Tend to agree	1
Rainow	1	Wilmslow - East	4
Strongly agree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Ridley	2	Tend to disagree	2
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Unsure/ don't know	1
Tend to disagree	1	Wilmslow - Lacey Green	1
Sandbach - Elworth	1	Tend to agree	1
Tend to agree	1	Wilmslow - West	11
Shavington - Gresty Brook	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Strongly disagree	1	Strongly agree	3
Shavington - Shavington	4	Strongly disagree	2
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Tend to disagree	4
Strongly agree	1	Unsure/ don't know	1
Strongly disagree	1	Wistaston - St Marys	1
Smallwood	1	Neither agree nor disagree	1
Tend to agree	1	Wistaston - Wells Grean	1
Snelson	2	Tend to disagree	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Woolstanwood	1
Tend to agree	1	Strongly agree	1
Somerford	7	Wrenbury Cum Frith	1
Neither agree nor disagree	1	Strongly agree	1
Strongly agree	3	Wybunbury	5
Tend to agree	1	Strongly agree	2
Tend to disagree	1	Strongly disagree	1
Unsure/ don't know	1	Tend to agree	2
Stapeley	3		
Strongly disagree	1		
Tend to agree	2		

**Table 6: Reflects local identities & interests / effective & convenient
Open comments by overall theme**

Theme	References
Working well	87
Represent the views of residents	30
Get things done/ act on concerns	17
Fine as it is	25
Can discuss issues/ concerns	8
Kept informed	7
Provides local services/ activities	2
Need for improvement	106
Re-assess boundaries	39
Lack of powers/ funds	27
Don't listen/ act on concerns	13
Too large/ Split into smaller areas	6
Little engagement	7
Using neighbouring facilities/ No local facilities	6
Too many councillors	3
Precept spent unwisely/ too costly	3
Small Area	2
Greater Centralisation	1

Please note that for table 6. 187 respondents chose to leave a valid comment. Within their comment. respondents could have made reference to more than one theme and therefore the number of references is more than the number of comments received.

Section 3: Responses by Town/Parish Council Ward

Please note that all verbatim comments are presented as entered by survey respondents, including spelling, grammatical and punctuation errors. Views expressed are not those of Cheshire East Council.

Acton - 1 representation received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The Acton Edleston and Henhull Parish Plan and recently Neighbourhood Plan has been led by the Parish Council and has been effective in engaging the community. The aqueduct improvement works which were implemented some time ago is an example of multi-agency working and funding, where the financial contribution made by Acton Edleston and Henhull PC was extraordinarily generous when seen as a % of the overall PC turnover/ precept. There has been an ongoing commitment from the PC to work with Nantwich Partnership To fund projects that the Partnership is leading for improvements in the area administered by Nantwich Town Council in recognition that AEH residents use Nantwich as their local centre.	
	Current Governance	I live in the rural area of Acton and the Acton, Edleston and Henhull Parish Council has provided the right governance. However I have overheard a lot of negative comments coming from Nantwich residents and organisations about the rural areas and the new housing developments not paying their way and taking advantage of the Nantwich facilities.	
	Change	I have only said change because I feel resigned to the fact the boundaries will be changed because there is such a strong lobby from Nantwich to include the housing estates which are in the Acton, Edleston and Henhull parishes into Nantwich. This includes Kingsbourne, Malbank Waters and may also include the smaller development on Welshmans Lane and land near Pear Tree farm. I think any changes need to consider boundaries very carefully such that the AEH Parish Council remains representing a reasonable size community. If the housing estates adjacent to Nantwich are to be incorporated into Nantwich, no extra land should be taken. Consideration needs to be given to the AEH Neighbourhood Plan, if made, which goes to referendum on 27 February 2020. The policies for that area would need to remain in place. I would also like to point out that contrary to the Nantwich view that the Town Council is subsidising the free loaders who live in the rural areas, that AEH PC funds some amenities that Nantwich dwellers use, such as the Acton Car park, which is free and used by many from Nantwich who park here and take the bus to Chester.	

Adlington - no representation received

Alderley Edge - 6 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Of course not - anyone who thinks a local / county / parish council is in any way capable of providing these sorts of opportunities is deluded. The Parish council system should be removed all together. We need a central government and a local area concil. Thank fully we have rid of Brussels now but why on earth do we need Parish - County - Central - EU governments? Four governments just wastes costs.	
	Current Governance	The Parish council system should be removed all together. We need a central government and a local area concil. Thank fully we have rid of Brussels now but why on earth do we need Parish - County - Central - EU governments? Four governments just wastes costs.	
	Change	See my first comment - reduce parish councillor numbers to zero	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Things do get done via our local councillor and they're very proactive in ensuring we know about any proposed changes or consultations, including this one.	
	No change	Name is appropriate, as are the remaining examples given above. Since we changed to Alderley Edge First there's been greater improvement across the board on the list of examples.	
An individual	No Change	Our neighbouring parishes have very different needs being either much more rural, or a considerably larger town, therefore merger or changing of boundaries would not be in the best interests of the parish population. Our precept remains acceptable to residents and allows adequate funding to deliver the services required. Some projects would require considerable funding and it would not be appropriate to raise the precept thus far to fund those.	
An individual	No change	Craig Browne is excellent, he needs more support from CE	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	

Alraham - no representation received

Alsager - Central - 2 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of a town/ parish council	Example of good governance	This will be discussed if CEC meet with Alsager Town Council.	
	Current Governance	Alsager Town has new build at the edges of the town which fall into different parishes, e.g. Close Lane development into Haslington and Twyford into Church Lawton. The Town Council would welcome the opportunity to meet with the Community Governance Team to fully discuss the issues Alsager Town Council has so both parties understand the implications of any changes.	
	Change	A change of boundary to Alsager Town Council is necessary to encompass developments on the edge of the town, the residents of which use the facilities of Alsager but their precept is paid to smaller parishes, i.e. Church Lawton and Haslington. Consideration of the ward areas in Alsager should be looked at following large residential developments to ensure they are fit for purpose and that the number of councillors and wards are appropriate.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	I have been a member of the Alsager Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group and the Alsager Town Council has been very supportive of our work. In particular they have been proactive in taking forward some town centre initiatives arising from our work, the appointment of a Town Centre Manager and support to engage consultants to help us develop a vision for the public realm development of the town centre.	
	Unsure / Don't know if a change is required	No open comments received	

Alsager - East - no representation received

Alsager - West - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	My principal point it that the boundary for Alsager needs to be changed to take account of new development, particularly in the west of the town which is currently in Haslington, which is absurd.	
	Change	Change to the boundary to include new development. Probably take Alsager to the M6 motorway.	

Arclid - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of a town/ parish council	No change	No open comments received	

Ashley - no representation received

Aston By Budworth - no representation received

Aston Justa Mondrum - no representation received

Audlem - 1 representation received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of a town/ parish council	No change	No open comments received	

Austerson - no representation received

Baddiley - no representation received

Baddington - no representation received

Barthomoly - 1 representation received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of a town/ parish council	Example of good governance	Existing arrangements offer the chance to meet on a monthly basis; allows for all seats on the council to be filled; allows for the public to attend and input into the meetings; allows for focus on key projects around road safety and the local environment; and allows for effective liaison with Cheshire East and also with neighbouring parishes.	
	Current Governance	The area covered by the parish council is a natural community along the main A534 road.	
	No Change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The name of the parish is appropriate, although it should be called Burland and Ravensmoor Parish Council ideally - The parish covers a wide and sparsely populated rural area of around 300 properties - this is a good size and allows local links to be made - Current number of councillors is 9 which is about right - Local services are delivered appropriately - Current precept is reasonable 	

Basford - no representation received

Batherton - no representation received

Betchton - no representation received

Bickerton - no representation received

Blakenhall - no representation received

Bollington Central - 4 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	There are limited arrangements as EC will not give BTC the power	
	Current Governance	Town council do not have enough decision making authority, merely give advice with tiny budget raising opportunities	
	Change	More authority. More money. More power	
An individual	Example of good governance	Recent floods were dealt as well as possible by Bollington councillors. If had to rely on a larger scale, with no direct contact to Bollington, it would've been more disastrous.	
	Change	More care towards elderly public transport services. They were never consulted in taking away the Sunday and later bus services. The care home has had a huge hit by that. Staff from Macclesfield could not travel in our out at those altered services. Weekends had seen a massive reduction in staff availability. Others are working on totally inadequate/dangerous levels. With closure of Bollington churches, the elderly lost their last contact to their faith community, based in Macclesfield. The deterioration in their mental health unknown to most, but seen by myself. Social isolation a huge problem for them, with carers not able to reach them. Not all carers are car drivers and the increase in cutting public bus services are leaving a vulnerable group at huge risk at night.	
An individual	No Change	Excellent town (parish) council. Very well linked to local community, hardworking & very talented people involved. Excellent town clerk	
Elected Cheshire East ward councillor	No change	No open comments received	

Bollington East - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Recent floods seem to be dealt with effectively. However, that was a joint team work from East, Central and West Bollington.	
	Change	Bollington East may need extra assistance as the community becoming larger and with added problems.	

Bollington West - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	I see very little community engagement from my Town Council especially my ward Councillors	
	Change	I feel that Bollington has the correct amount of Councillors for it's size. I do feel that some are just there for the title and the occasional black tie dinner. As, apart from resisting any positive change they do very little to support the council and the community.	

Bosley- no representation received

Bradwell - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of a town/ parish council	No change	No open comments received	

Brereton - 18 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Neighbourhood Plan	
	No Change	Geographic Locality and population Size is appropriate to scope of parish council. Identity of parish to current locality is good.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Cheshire east seems to have poor spatial planning when it comes to all the house building happening in the area and does not seem to listen to parish councils or create a long term vision for the area. An example Congleton town population increased through new housing but no additional amenities. Only new things in town centre as three resident homeless people	
	Current Governance	Local parish councils know their area and residents but are regularly overruled by main councils who can misinterpret central government. Current new house building is happening without road infrastructure and additional needs, no new schools, no new GPS leading to chaos at local hospitals	
	Change	Parish councils should work together as they border each other and this would give greater voice and influence. Cheshire east council needs to provide clear leadership and say No to house builders determined to destroy the countryside and provide nothing in return. Holmes chapel needs a bypass from M6 it is no longer a village just a very busy through road. Parish councils have had no voice to stop this	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	The parish council communicates reasonably well with local residents and matters can be raised at council meetings.	
	No Change	We strongly feel that Brereton should remain as it presently stands with its current boundaries, as a predominantly rural parish with an active Neighbourhood Plan in place and providing recreation facilities for surrounding townships and villages. The problem has been in the past that Brereton's character, function and needs have not been recognised or upheld sufficiently by CEC. Many examples of this could be provided by referring to planning decisions over the last 4-5 years, where developer interests have taken priority over the made Neighbourhood Plan and publicly recognised in planning appeals that Brereton has been forced to accept more than its fair share of new housing development.	
An individual	Current Governance	The local councillors are aware of local issues and we are able to attend meetings to put our point of view	
	No Change	No open comments received	
An individual	Example of good governance	Parish plan was very actively promoted and one of the first to be filed.	
	Current Governance	The parish council are active and responsive to questions and problems	
	No Change	Current parish precept allows for the active and effective promotion of the well-being of its residents	
An individual	Example of good governance	Parish Council works effectively with Brereton Community Interest group, the police and other parish councils	
	Current Governance	Parish Council works effectively with the school, church, local events, Brereton Community Interest group, the police and other parish councils	
	No Change	Brereton has a strong community feel with the Bear Festival, open gardens and annual Rose Day. It is comprised of 12 smaller communities who identify with the parish. Members of the public regularly attend the Parish Council meetings and are supportive. As an example of effective working, the Brereton Neighbourhood Plan was one of the very first in Cheshire. We have a new development at Bluebell Green and work closely with residents to make them feel part of our community.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Brereton Neighbourhood plan	
	Current Governance	Our Parish Council and community interest groups are extremely well supported and effective. They do a splendid job in recognising and upholding the intrinsic value of our small rural community with its precious wildlife and sadly diminishing green spaces	
	No Change	The current area of Brereton is admittedly spread out but this is the nature of our unusual and special community. The new developments at Bluebell Green and soon Campion Green too are within our current boundary and as such will help to support our future village plans. If there should be a change and these developments removed Brereton could be subject to yet more intrusive housing pressure from developers who are not concerned with protecting the local environment and amenity which we know is so important for all the residents in the surrounding towns and villages. Brereton provides a welcome breath of air and green space.	
An individual	Example of good governance	The Brereton Neighbourhood plan was a superb piece of work which was one of the first if not the first to be produced in Cheshire. Recent concerns over developments and considerable house building has been noted and an intelligent sustainable approach adopted where possible. Also the relatively minor but still important matters of dog faeces, cat litter in tiny waste bins and the provision of better bins have all been taken up with care efficiency and professionalism. matters great and small are dealt properly and appropriately	
	Current Governance	Our local councillors live in the village and are therefore completely and directly aware and concerned for the well being and future of Brereton and its residents and environment. They do a first class job and are readily approachable and accessible	
	No Change	To group a number of parishes or indeed to merge parishes would be highly detrimental to the unique and important qualities of Brereton 's characteristics. Although Brereton is a large area in terms of the geography, it is a close knit community which includes Smethwick and the two Breretons Green and Heath; the immense support for the adoption of the Neighbourhood plan is evidence of this. Brereton's rural character and the wonderful diversity of its environment and wildlife is very special and needs those who live on site, as it were, to recognise and act as champions for us. I fear that a within a larger parish or with the merging of Brereton we could lose the very personal and locally knowledgeable team work of those who currently lead and support us.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Yes. The recent Neighbourhood Plan for Brereton is such an example. Also the parish council has done a great job representing the local community when developers want to build inappropriate housing estate in our parish. The parish councillors have spoken up at Cheshire East Planning meetings in a very knowledgeable and supportive way and have had great success in getting these inappropriate estates refused. An example was the proposal to build 11 houses in estate style at Moss Nook on Moss Lane and, similarly, 49 houses near Brereton Green. Our parish councillors work closely with our Cheshire East Councillor, our MP and the staff at Cheshire East Planning and other departments and the local community is able to be actively involved throughout that.	
	Current Governance	The current arrangements work very well in Brereton Parish. The parish councillors are local people with local knowledge and are very accessible to everyone in the parish. This arrangement is effective in that the parish council can take forward issues affecting local people in a very direct way. It is very convenient to be able to speak to someone locally who knows the area well. The area is very rural and it is important that governance here reflects rural issues. While houses are being built near the boundaries with local towns it is important that the council representing the people in those houses is a rural one and not a town one as the people buying those houses do so so as to be in a rural setting not a town one.	
	No Change	The parish name is appropriate in that the main places people live are Brereton Green and Brereton Heath. The new development at Bluebell Green is close to Brereton Green and the people there will use the facilities in Brereton such as the school, community space, local nature reserve and our wonderful countryside for leisure. The size of Brereton is about right, not too big and not too small. There seem to be enough parish councillors to get the job done without there being too many so as to generate bureaucracy. the delivery of services is efficient as the parish councillors put in a lot of local knowledge as well as their personal skills for free. A bigger arrangement would need to buy these in. The precept is a small amount annually but still allows valuable work to take place to help the wellbeing of the community.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Brereton was at the forefront of local Councils to prepare and complete a Neighbourhood Plan to reflect the needs and aspirations of the resident and business populations over the life of the Plan. Since the Plan was Made in 2016, it has been reviewed in 2019 to ensure it still meets the needs of local people and businesses. Brereton Parish Council is currently undertaking a survey across the Parish to determine possible Infrastructure Needs for all households and businesses, and is particularly determined to include the many new households that are being created as a result of substantial development in the area. On completion of the survey, an Infrastructure Delivery Plan will be considered and implemented by the Parish Council if needed. The survey is being conducted in consultation with local community groups to ensure it is fully inclusive.	
	Current Governance	Brereton has a forward thinking and pro-active Parish Council, which engages with its residents and with Cheshire East to ensure that the views and needs of all residents in the area are taken into account and proper service levels and governance are in place.	
	No Change	The Parish is a large geographical area, largely rural in nature, but with substantial housing development taking place within the Parish Boundary. The population is spread among 12 settlements within the boundary, with the majority being located in Brereton Green, Brereton Heath, and increasingly at the new development of Bluebell Green, which once complete will represent the largest population centre within the Parish. The remaining population is spread among smaller hamlets and settlements. The Neighbourhood Plan for Brereton is based upon serving the needs of the existing settlements and the new development of Bluebell Green, whilst protecting the substantial rural areas within the Parish, which are predominantly farmed land, as well as a substantial SSSI at Bagmere Moss. The current structure of the Parish Council, consisting of 8 parish Councillors, is reflective of the current needs of the Parish, and also allows for the further growth that will take place at Bluebell Green over the next few years. Once this development is completed (currently likely to be in 2024), the population of the Parish will have increased by almost 50% since 2013. The Parish can absorb this growth and will remain a thriving group of individual communities within the Parish boundary. Adjoining Parishes and towns are already under substantial development pressures, and changes in boundaries may place these areas under unsustainable pressures, including pressures in providing proper governance.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	There are a number of local clubs and organisations (e.g. Brereton Community Interest Group) which the Parish Council supports, and with which it has worked and collaborated.	
	Current Governance	Having attended some of the Parish Council's meetings and, on occasions, requested assistance on local matters, I have always witnessed the Councillors speaking and acting with concern for matters within the Parish and in support of the people within it are affected by matters beyond their direct control. E.g. Traffic speeding along the A54 past the new housing development at the end of Brereton Heath Lane.	
	No Change	No open comments received	
On behalf of a group, organisation or club	Example of good governance	Brereton Parish Council (BPC) have supported Brereton Community Interest Group (BPCIG) for the last 13 years and have thus contributed to various community projects. Parish Councillors have regularly attended BPCIG meetings during that time. When BPCIG launched the community newsletter that was delivered to all households in the Parish, BPC provided the funding for the production costs. In the development of the very successful Community Space project, BPC were involved in collaborative decision-making and also helped with funding. BPC hosted a consultation evening for local groups to share what they do and what aspirations they have. Parish councillors have supported BPCIG projects such as litter picking with the Clean Team, Community Space maintenance, tree planting, bulb planting, walking leaflet, IT buddies, Millennium Triangle. In addition, councillors have supported the many events organised by BPCIG such as quiz evenings, party evenings, children's' sports day, village variety show, youth group charity evenings, Christmas market, carols in the park, bird, bee and bat-box making, More recently BPC have worked collaboratively with BPCIG to produce a future development infrastructure plan. As part of this process a questionnaire has just been sent to all households in Brereton to help to establish future needs.	
	Current Governance	Brereton is a rural Parish that is the largest geographical Parish in Cheshire East. It has a long established identity and its needs are met by a Parish Council which continue to have a wealth of experience of local knowledge and history. This has ensured that decisions made by the Parish Council, whilst moving the Parish forward, also take into account the nature of the Parish and its past. Residents can easily access local Parish Councillors who are well known by many residents. By their locations spread throughout the Parish, councillors are able to represent the various settlements and are known personally by many people in the Parish. It is easy for residents to attend Parish Council meetings at the local Primary School.	
	No Change	Brereton Parish is the largest geographical Parish in Cheshire East with a largely rural community and rural businesses such as farms. In the Brereton Neighbourhood Plan, in the document "SDC12 Consultation 4 NP Vision And Objectives Option Proposals Survey Analysis Report", respondents indicated how strongly they agreed with "Objective 4: Protect green space, the environment and the landscape; support nature conservation and encourage responsible rural recreation, rural tourism and sustainable modes of transport." The analysis report states of Objective 4: "the most strongly supported set of proposals with an average of over 90% "agree" or "strongly agree". In order to preserve the character of this Parish, it is essential that Brereton remains an independent Parish with local councillors 'on the ground' who understand and appreciate the nature of the Parish and are able to support the Community by living in the parish, listening to residents and representing their views, for example with such issues as planning applications, local highways, etc. The current precept has enabled projects to make a difference in the community and the Parish Council are already being proactive in looking at possible larger future infrastructure projects, as well as ways to better connect the various settlements within Brereton Parish.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Good communication, local actions reflect the community.	
	No Change	Currently Brereton is well managed by the local councillors. In particular local issues are dealt with before residents even notice them. We need them on our side !	
An individual	No Change	Brereton village is a beautiful little village and it is essential that it remains an independent Parish with local councillors 'on the ground' who understand and appreciate the nature of the Parish. They need to be able to support the Community by living in the parish, listening to residents and representing their views, for example with such issues as planning applications, local highways, etc. The current precept has enabled projects to make a difference in the community and the Parish Council are already being proactive in looking at possible larger future infrastructure projects, as well as ways to better connect the various settlements within Brereton Parish.	
An individual	Current Governance	They are elected local people who have the best interests of their parish's or towns at heart. They should have the final say in any decisions regarding their parish or town.	
	No Change	I believe that no change is the best option, as I believe that any change that Cheshire East has in mind will be detrimental to the parish of Brereton, and indeed any other parish's they choose to alter. The mention of changing parish boundaries can be for one reason only, and that is to increase the area of open countryside that can be made available for more houses. With regard to Brereton, the huge development in the parish, in open countryside should never have been allowed. This kind of environmental vandalism seems to be the norm in this part of Cheshire already, and I am sure that any changes will be to ensure that large parts of parish's like Brereton will be joined on to townships like Holmes Chapel, Sandbach, Congleton and Alsager to increase the areas of land available for building in the future. I'm sure they would love to curb the local councillors ability to object to these unsustainable developments too.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	<p>The current arrangements enable active involvement of BPC with all the community in the parish. BPC councillors have a great deal of local knowledge and are well known in the community. This closeness to the people of Brereton is key to effective governance. An example is the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan when local people, businesses and organisations actively and enthusiastically were involved through to the successful referendum where 51% of the electorate voted with a 96% “yes” response. This was one of the highest turnouts in England that year. Examples of successful active involvement in planning applications at Council meetings includes 16/3367C at Moss Nook on Moss Lane for the demolition of existing buildings located on site and construction of 11 new dwellings (Use Class C3). Following many local objections to the proposal, BPC made detailed, planning based objections and the application was refused. The subsequent appeal was eventually withdrawn. Another example is 15/4968C Land off Newcastle Road South, Brereton for outline application for a residential development of up to 49 dwellings (C3), together with associated infrastructure and open space provision will all matters reserved except for access. Again, following much local concern, representatives of BPC and the local community attended and spoke at the Northern Planning Committee and the application was refused. Further active involvement contributed to the withdrawal of the subsequent appeal. The current governance arrangements enable effective adoption of responsibilities. For example, BPC has an excellent track record of attracting new members of the Parish Council when vacancies arise and new members bring new ideas and ways of working. We have an open community approach through encouraging public attendance at Parish Council meetings. Residents know who their parish councillors are if they need to contact them so governance can be at the local level. Another example is that any review of the Neighbourhood Plan can be based on existing boundaries so it is easier to produce updated plan as boundaries remain for the next decade BPC works together effectively with our community, our MP, our Borough Councillor and Cheshire East officers (especially Planning and Highways), and Police. An example is the effective working together on the Neighbourhood Plan. Another example is the effective working with the community on the planning applications mentioned above. Our MP, Fiona Bruce is very supportive and was involved in the refusal of both planning applications mentioned already. BPC works with the Brereton Parish Community Interest Group (BPCIG) who have a monthly newsletter “Brereton Life” and are very active in the parish. BPC has a regular section reporting on recent BPC activities. The Brereton Life website links through to the BPC website. BPCIG works effectively with Cheshire East and BPC in the running of the very popular Community Space in Brereton Green.</p>	Map included in response

Current Governance	<p>The current governance arrangements work well as Brereton is a predominantly rural parish which provides an area of open character and countryside between the three surrounding towns of Congleton, Sandbach and Holmes Chapel each of which will meet the majority of housing and employment needs for the middle part of the Cheshire East Borough. Brereton Parish Council (BPC) strongly agrees that the recent and current role performed by BPC reflects local identities and interests with the Neighbourhood Plan, which was one of the first two such Plans to be made, being an example. The enthusiastic way the local community actively supported the production of the Plan is a good example of community cohesion achieved by the current governance arrangements. Our view is that BPC provides an effective and convenient local level of government. BPC actively engages with the community and represents their interests in responding to planning applications and other Cheshire East and other consultations in a systematic manner using its local knowledge and concern to support community cohesion. Some major and significant planning applications in Brereton Parish in recent years have been considered and full responses provided to the Borough Council Planning team. BPC has regular contact with Cheshire East Council officers, Fiona Bruce, our Member of Parliament and John Wray, our Borough Councillor, who usually attends our meetings. BPC wishes to retain the whole current parish area and that would include the retention of the currently developing Bloor Bluebell estate. The Bloor site (see attached map) can be seen to be surrounded by open countryside in a way similar to the other settlements in the parish. Our reasoning is that BPC offers an excellent service to the local community with examples including the Neighbourhood Plan and our close involvement with all our community on planning applications, Cheshire East Planning and other consultations. The new Bluebell community and the previously existing communities have many factors in common such as the local primary school, opportunities to benefit from the local countryside and many more. There are examples elsewhere in Cheshire East (e.g. Macclesfield and Congleton) where parts of rural parishes lie on the outskirts of a town but retain their parish status. By keeping existing parish boundaries, the rural character and integrity of the parish is while supporting the need for housing within the main towns of the Borough.</p>
No Change	<p>The name of the parish is appropriate: Brereton is mentioned in the Domesday book as the Manor of Bretune and local continuity is valued. The parish is of an appropriate size and population. The technical Report produced for this Governance Review projects that the current community of 1,200 is likely to grow substantially over the next decade. Electors are expected to grow from 1,052 in 2018 to 1,430 in 2025. The attached map shows the area of Brereton Parish. A second map shows the relationship of the Bloor development (outline application 14/5921C and subsequent final applications. The existing parish boundary between Brereton and Holmes Chapel follows a clear physical boundary (the River Croco). The Bloor development is surrounded by open countryside except for a very small part in the north. The area of the Bloor development is as much in the countryside as any other part of Brereton Parish and is linked to Brereton parish by the school at Brereton Green (children from the Bloor development will go there) and by the rural countryside surrounding the development. The Bloor site is an integral part of Brereton Parish and should remain so. The current number of councillors at 8 is appropriate for the number of electors. This number gives enough resources and a manageable council for meetings but allows for holiday and other absence. The delivery of local services is efficient and affordable as outlined in the responses to questions 2 and 3. The current parish precept allows for the active and effective promotion of the well-being of its residents. The precept is based on several planned improvement projects for the parish as well as the running costs of the parish council. Projects have included upgrading the audio-visual equipment at the school in Brereton Green, the purchase of road traffic speed monitoring equipment and support for local initiatives such as the hugely successful Bear Festival, school Rose Queen Day and the school Christmas pantomime. Brereton is the open green lung between the three surrounding towns in central Cheshire providing both residents and visitors an opportunity to enjoy the countryside and local facilities and services. It is an appropriate size for a rural parish council which will grow in population over the next decade. Brereton also contains more than 20 listed buildings of all grades many visited for their character, appearance and open countryside settings. The area has good accessibility so offers opportunities to surrounding communities to enjoy the rural environment.</p>

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The PC's approach to the Neighbourhood plan, the consultation process and also their approach to planning issues is good. On another note the litter picks are well organised and help keep Brereton looking tidy.	
	Current Governance	I have attended parish meetings and provided advice on matters locally and found the PC to be quite forward thinking.	
	No Change	Brereton PC are active and interested in both the Parish and it's occupants. They are pro-active and tie together many local facilities and services. They have regular meetings and seek professional advice on such matters as highways and planning. Local events and liaison with church and community are helpful and social gatherings help bring the community together.	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	

Bridgemere - no representation received

Brindley - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	Existing arrangements offer the chance to meet on a monthly basis; allows for all seats on the council to be filled; allows for the public to attend and input into the meetings; allows for focus on key projects around road safety and the local environment; and allows for effective liaison with Cheshire East and also with neighbouring parishes.	
	Current Governance	The area covered by the parish council is a natural community along the main A534 road.	
	No Change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The name of the parish is appropriate, although it should be called Burland and Ravensmoor Parish Council ideally - The parish covers a wide and sparsely populated rural area of around 300 properties - this is a good size and allows local links to be made - Current number of councillors is 9 which is about right - Local services are delivered appropriately - Current precept is reasonable 	

Broomhall - no representation received

Buerton- no representation received

Bulkeley- 2 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Parish council organises village tidy days	
	Current Governance	We have a functioning parish council	
	Change	Although Bulkeley and Ridley Parish council operate as one council councillors are elected as either Bulkeley or Ridley councillors. I believe there are also varying voting rights. As we are now one parish council councillors should be elected and have the same voting rights irrespective of the old parish boundaries.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	Currently Bulkeley and Ridley are two separate parishes and two separate wards in Cheshire East. For administrative convenience and economies of scale the two parishes have joined together and share one chairman and one clerk. The local elections highlight confusion in the parishes about the situation. In May 2017, Bulkeley and Ridley Parish Council agreed to contact Cheshire East and request that the governance of Bulkeley and Ridley be reviewed with the aim of merging the two parishes into one single ward parish with one council representing the two elements still under the name of Bulkeley and Ridley Parish Council.	

Bunbury - 5 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The devising of the Neighbourhood Plan was carried out with a working party and Public meetings.	
	Current Governance	Having a Neighbourhood Plan which is regularly referred to, the residents of the Village are confident that matters raised are always considered according to the Plan.	
	No Change	As we have an effective Parish Council, I feel we do not need to merge with another Parish.	
An individual	Current Governance	Parish Councils provide a forum for discussion but they seem to have little power other than to raise issues or deliver feedback.	
	Change	At the South end of the village the The Yew Tree pub and the houses along Long Lane towards the A49 are in Spurstow PC but more naturally are part of Bunbury village as they are connected to the village and are a natural fit. The boundary should move to include these few properties.	
An individual	Current Governance	They have insufficient powers	
	No Change	No open comments received	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	We received constructive comment and support when restoring the local bus shelter. Permissions for tree work and restoration were readily available. We have always received prompt and efficient response to problems regarding fly tipping and refuse collection.	
	Current Governance	There is a good range of people representing the whole area on this Parish Council. However a lack of communication and engagement by some areas of the local authority does cause some concern.	
	Change	There have been two large housing developments within the Parishes so a review of the number of Parish Councillors would be advantageous. However the developments of Kingsbourne and Malbank Waters appear naturally to fall within the perimeters of Nantwich Town and a relocation of the boundaries of these two developments into Nantwich Town would seem a reasonable way forward.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The Bunbury Neighbourhood Plan was a good example of how the Council, Parish Council and Residents were able to produce an agreed plan for the Parish. Our interface with the Local PCSO through regular meetings and reports serves to keep the community updated on Police matters. As previously mentioned we believe CHALC provides a useful mechanism for addressing Governance issues	
	Current Governance	We believe that the Governance arrangements are working fine and the structure of the organisation does not need to change, as a Parish Council we value the support of CHALC in addressing any issues related to Governance. The issues that are raised by our parishioners and the Parish Council are related to communication, implementation of policy and resources within the Council but these issues are not going to be resolved by changing the governance arrangements.	
	No Change	For Bunbury Parish we do not believe that there is any need to change the Parish Boundary, the number of councillors or the current precept.	

Burland - 2 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	I am not convinced that parish council opinions and their residents in rural areas are taken seriously	
	Change	I would join Faddiley and adjacent with Burland. We have same issues.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	Existing arrangements offer the chance to meet on a monthly basis; allows for all seats on the council to be filled; allows for the public to attend and input into the meetings; allows for focus on key projects around road safety and the local environment; and allows for effective liaison with Cheshire East and also with neighbouring parishes.	
	Current Governance	The area covered by the parish council is a natural community along the main A534 road.	

	No Change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> * The name of the parish is appropriate, although it should be called Burland and Ravensmoor Parish Council ideally * The parish covers a wide and sparsely populated rural area of around 300 properties - this is a good size and allows local links to be made * Current number of councillors is 9 which is about right * Local services are delivered appropriately * Current precept is reasonable 	
--	-----------	--	--

Calveley - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	I don't understand the intent of this question. Can you be a bit more open and precise with your question?	
	Current Governance	Those on the PC in my area are trying hard to deliver for the local community and in the best interests of the area. However, there is no evidence of any supportive commitment from the senior officers of Cheshire East offering supportive help and/or guidance.	
	Change	Calveley and Alpraham Parishes are both so small that CEC can (and do) ignore any comments or request for assistance from either parish individually. Combining these two parishes would help by increasing the size. Cheshire East only respond - it would seem - to the number of voters!	

Checkley Cum Wrinehill - no representation received

Chelford - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Cheshire East pretty much ignore any request etc. from the parish council, almost treating parish councils with contempt. CE needs to give them a proper budget, responsibility and accountability to take action on behalf of the community.	
	No Change	This is a great example of tackling the wrong problem. Can Cheshire East focus on real change that makes a real difference to residents - improved infrastructure, not dumping other parishes housing allocations on Chelford, not taking land out of green belt etc.	

Cholmonderston - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Nothing happens in our parish	

	Change	Parish has a canal and railway going through it. Can the boundary be looked at to take these into account? The railway bridge is always flooding. Precept too low and parish council doing nothing for community or to address roads which are on national cycle route. So much for CE cycling strategy, climate emergency and air quality improvements. Parish struggles to attract Councillors as nobody takes any interest or are too lazy.	
--	--------	--	--

Chorley - Wilmslow & Chorley - 3 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
Elected Cheshire East ward councillor	Example of good governance	The parish council tends to channel their activity through its CEC councillors rather than contact CEC themselves. We are now trying to overcome this, so that they can fix issues quicker by going direct to the correct source.	
	Current Governance	The council is very small, with a limited number of people wanting to help run it.	
	Change	The parish council is very small and could be combined with Wilmslow Town Council.	
An individual	Current Governance	Local representation is important for local issues to be considered and implemented.	
	No Change	Local accountability is important for resolving very local issues.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Current Governance	Effective Interface between local residents and borough Council.	
	No Change	For a Parish with approximately 190 properties and 375 electors the size of Parish Boundary and number of councillors (7) is appropriate, although there is a considerable problem filling vacancies on the parish Council. The size of Parish Precept allows effectively for the well-being of its residents.	

Chorley - Wrenbury - no representation received

Chorlton - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	The area of Wychwood Park is currently split between Weston and Chorlton, with the majority of houses being in Chorlton. The Council considers that Wychwood Park should be covered by one Parish and that should be Chorlton. Both Parish Councils are agreeable to this.	Map included in response

Church Lawton - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
-----	------------	----------	----------------------

On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The Parish Council support a Barrows working party to investigate the future of this important heritage site. The Parish Council leads a community group consisting of representatives of various community groups within Church Lawton. The area is a designated Neighbourhood Plan area.	
	Current Governance	Church Lawton, despite having several settlements such as Lawton Heath End, is an ancient parish with a strong sense of identity and pride in its history. Sites such as the Barrows site are very much a part of the heritage which the people of Church Lawton feel strongly about.	
	No Change	Since the Parish is an ancient one with a strong identity, the area is totally appropriate. The number of councillors is appropriate. Any less would result in difficulties to drive forward projects. The precept is suitable for the parish at the moment.	

Church Minshull - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	No Change	No open comment responses received	

Congleton East - 7 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	We do have some local community organisations that work effectively, e.g. Congleton Sustainability Group, but they purposely keep away from any issues that require tackling bureaucracy in Cheshire East Council.	
	Current Governance	Having attended a number of Congleton Town Council meetings, I can see they are not conducive to encourage participation and debate, and may even be off-putting to certain parts of the community. Meeting agendas are not published far enough in advance, public questions are not allowed after 7 days before the meeting, and there is no opportunity for public debate in the meeting. The main Town Council meeting starts off with Christian prayers, which seems not appropriate in this inclusive society. The format of many meetings is to simply receive and approve items from sub-committees, usually without debate. There seems to be a reluctance to broach difficult or controversial subjects in public, for example the Climate Emergency. Most important issues seem to be out of the control of the Town Council, e.g. planning, highways, transport, and our local Councillors seem to have little influence with Cheshire East policies.	
	Change	Personally I can't see why we need separate Council wards within the town of Congleton - this seems very arbitrary and unnecessary. Our local Councillors are unpaid, which seems to give a number of problems:- some have full-time jobs, and are unable to commit enough time to the Council- some work away very far from the town, and are not able to attend all the meetings etc.- some seem to use the lack of pay as an excuse not to be too diligent- some are attracted to the job for the wrong reasons, e.g. a sense of power, rather than of duty	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
Congleton Town Councillor: Congleton East	Example of good governance	The existing system of two wards for Congleton Town Council with ten councillors in each works well because following the May 2019 local elections, town councillors from across the political divide are working well together	
	Current Governance	Congleton Town Council provides services for residents living outside its boundary. In order for Congleton Town Council to provide the services at the level that is appropriate for those that use them, Congleton Town Council needs additional financial resources which can be easily provided if Congleton Town Council's boundary is extended just beyond the new Congleton Link Road.	
	Change	Congleton Town East and West ward boundaries need to be extended just beyond the new Congleton Link Road because those living within that area are using the facilities of Congleton Town Council. In order for Congleton Town Council to provide the services appropriate for its customers living within that area, Congleton Town Council needs the precept from those residents living just beyond the Congleton Link Road. Then, Congleton Town Council will be able to provide the necessary level of service for those living just beyond the new Congleton Link Road and who are using the services provided by Congleton Town Council.	
An individual	Current Governance	Each Congleton ward has ten councillors. This makes elections very confusing because there are so many names to choose from. This may exceed 30. It means that anyone with a name in the lower half of the alphabet is at a considerable disadvantage which undermines the democratic process. It also means that residents are not sure who to contact if they have a problem because they are confronted with a choice of ten.	
	Change	The area covered by the ward is too large and serves nearly 5500 households and over 11000 electors. Councillors therefore find it hard to build a close relationship with the residents in their ward and find it difficult to keep in touch on a regular basis. A smaller ward with fewer councillors helps to build a stronger community spirit and enables councillors to establish closer working relationships with residents and be more focussed on localised issues. It makes counting votes very cumbersome and can result in skewed outcomes due to candidates with names beginning with letters near the end of the alphabet being disadvantaged. Currently 10 councillors serve the ward. Dividing it into three new wards each with three members would make the whole process of electing councillors more manageable and managing ward business more effective. It would also make the councillors more accountable because it's easy to hide if there are nine others!	
An individual	Current Governance	We currently have two wards with 10 Councillors in each . I'd like to see more smaller wards - this allows Cllrs to be closer to their electorate and also it is more likely local residents will know who to contact if they have issues	
	Change	Wards far too big - we need 6 wards of three Councillors each . Come election time the electorate don't know who the councillors are - the voting paper is enormous. In addition Cllrs with surnames at end of alphabet are at a statistical disadvantage	

An individual	Change	Ward sizes for Congleton are too big - where is the accountability when you have 10 councillors in each ward .Congleton has grown massively - so could be worth some of the parishes that are now part of the Congleton conurbation being included as Congleton Town Council, but with smaller wards (maybe going back to when Congleton had 6 wards of 3 Councillors in each)	
An individual	Current Governance	At present the borough has 10 seats for over 10,000 people a large number over a large area. It would be better if the area was split into 3 so that the councillors covered smaller areas & people. This would make it easier for the public to contact their area's councillors.	
	Change	East Congleton is to big. In 2019 the election meant for each all had to vote for 10 councillors out of a list of approx. 20, a list that went over 2 pages. It would be better if each constituency was split into 3 (as it use to be). Each of the new constituencies could vote for 3 councillors from a list of 6 or 7 candidates. This would be fairer for people voting & the candidates. Candidates with names beginning with M to Z are less likely to be elected than people with names beginning with A to L just because they are bottom of the page or on page 2. This would also have the advantage of ensuring easier contact with councillors and their voters, & making it easier for residents to talk about specific issues.	
An individual	Current Governance	I live in Congleton, however the Parish boundary for Congleton East/Eaton runs through my back garden. Consequently, I cannot vote for anything Congleton based as my Parish is classed as Eaton. I think that with adoption of the Local Plan Strategy (and the emerging Site Allocations and Development Policies Document) parish boundaries need to be carefully looked at to enable residents to have a meaningful say in what happens in their settlements.	
	Change	I live in Congleton, however the Parish boundary for Congleton East/Eaton runs through my back garden. Consequently, I cannot vote for anything Congleton based as my Parish is classed as Eaton. I think that with adoption of the Local Plan Strategy (and the emerging Site Allocations and Development Policies Document) parish boundaries need to be carefully looked at to enable residents to have a meaningful say in what happens in their settlements. Therefore I suggest that the Parish boundary for Congleton East be amended to include properties on Malhmadale Road, Crompton Close and possibly Bridestones Place.	

Congleton West - 9 representations received

On behalf of a town/parish council	Example of good governance	As a town council we have regenerated a key town asset, the town hall ,deliver streetscape services which are very well received by local residents and feel that through contract negotiations with CEC we could expand this service to save money for the local authority	
	Current Governance	As a key service centre we are going to be impacted by all of the additional housing developments in the surrounding parish's who will ultimately be using the town centre precepts will grow in the current P Councils where they have no responsibility for services used by their residents	
	Change	As in previous responses	
An individual	Example of good governance	As above but also Congleton in Bloom where CTC works with Streetscape to improve the appearance of our town. Congleton Partnership also works with local groups and charities under the umbrella of CTC.	
	Current Governance	CTC is well balanced and reflects all views. They work hard to respond to local needs and support local interests. They are prepared to lobby for issues that are not in their direct control e.g. leisure centre, War memorial hospital etc.	

	Change	The present system is reasonably effective but I think it would be better if Congleton west was split into 2/3 separate areas so councillors more closely reflected their area. I also feel that the boundaries of the 2 Congleton town wards no longer include all residential areas that look towards Congleton town. It would be more effective if some of the surrounding parishes were incorporated into CTC so that the town truly reflected the residential area around the town centre . The increase in size would be able to provide more effectively for the local community. Some of our local facilities are not adequate to meet the needs of our expanded community and a larger town council covering a bigger area and with more funding would be a more efficient way to provide for the local community .	
--	--------	--	--

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	I believe that the Congleton wards are too large and not as reflective of the local areas as the previous 4 wards were. Also the new builds around will only compound this situation.	
	Change	I feel that the way the new building is going on all around, with more and more people working outside of Congleton their requirements will not reflect what the current residents want. Also much of the new build in just outside of the Congleton Wards, but will still expect Congleton as a town to service their needs.	
Town Councillor	Current Governance	The recent and current expansion of the settlement means that the many of the housing estates around the tow lie outside our boundary, but their residents look to the Town Centre to provide the services they need. In one or two cases the current boundary runs right through a housing estate, making it difficult to tell which ward, and even which constituency, the houses belong to, causing confusion during election campaigns.	
	Change	Congleton Town Parish needs to divided into four wards again and to include the rest of the settlement as defined by the CEC Local Plan. Each of the two current wards includes more than 6000 dwellings and present a mammoth task for election campaigns, let alone for any CEC ward councillor that is willing to shoulder the work load. There are currently three councillors representing each ward, but not all three may be equally active, putting an undue responsibility on any that are. It would be better to have only one councillor per ward, who would be wholly responsible. This would also prevent ward councillors who represent different parties competing with one another for public attention. With the additional 4000 dwellings in the Local Plan, each of the four wards would have a more manageable 4000 dwellings. Admittedly, this would still be too many for a single councillor - two would be more suitable - but this would be better than having three. A corresponding increase in the size of the Town Council would give 28 councillors, 7 for each of the four wards, which could be unwieldy. May be 6 councillors per ward would be better.	
An individual	Current Governance	Each Congleton ward has ten councillors. This makes elections very confusing because there are so many names to choose from. This may exceed 30. It means that anyone with a name in the lower half of the alphabet is at a considerable disadvantage which undermines the democratic process. It also means that residents are not sure who to contact if they have a problem because they are confronted with a choice of ten.	
	Change	The area covered by the ward is too large and serves nearly 5500 households and over 11000 electors. Councillors therefore find it hard to build a close relationship with the residents in their ward and find it difficult to keep in touch on a regular basis. A smaller ward with fewer councillors helps to build a stronger community spirit and enables councillors to establish closer working relationships with residents and be more focussed on localised issues. It makes counting votes very cumbersome and can result in skewed outcomes due to candidates with names beginning with letters near the end of the alphabet being disadvantaged. Currently 10 councillors serve the ward. Dividing it into three new wards each with three members would make the whole process of electing councillors more manageable and managing ward business more effective. It would also make the councillors more accountable because it's easy to hide if there are nine others!	

An individual	Current Governance	At present the borough has 10 seats for over 10,000 people a large number over a large area. It would be better if the area was split into 3 so that the councillors covered smaller areas & people. This would make it easier for the public to contact their area's councillors.	
	Change	West Congleton is to big. In 2019 the election meant for each all had to vote for 10 councillors out of a list of approx. 20, a list that went over 2 pages. It would be better if each constituency was split into 3 (as it use to be). Each of the new constituencies could vote for 3 councillors from a list of 6 or 7 candidates. This would be fairer for people voting & the candidates. Candidates with names beginning with M to Z are less likely to be elected than people with names beginning with A to L just because they are bottom of the page or on page 2. This would also have the advantage of ensuring easier contact with councillors and their voters, & making it easier for residents to talk about specific issues.	
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Current arrangements allow for strong parish council identities but in reality some of those parish are now completely subsumed by the new housing developments that merge them with Congleton.	
	Change	Much of the parish of Somerford is now completely integrated into Congleton with only notional, seamless divisions on the map. The addition of large numbers of new houses in the Somerford area now puts significant pressure on Congleton for the use of schools, waste collection and all other services and infrastructure. Consideration could be given to enlarging Congleton to encompass the developments in Somerford and merging the remaining part of Somerford with Somerford Booths. Similar issues also exist with new housing developments in the parishes of Eaton where there are some parts of Havannah that most definitely should be in Congleton where just a few houses fall outside the boundary. With regard to the size of Congleton East and West Wards these really stand out as anomalies when compared with other wards in Cheshire East. Having a town the size of Congleton with only 2 wards with 10 town Councillors and 3 wards Councillors each should definitely be reviewed and aligned with other towns - perhaps 4 wards rather than 2.	
Congleton Town Councillor (East Ward)	Example of good governance	The existing wards of Congleton East and West with ten town councillors in each works well because following the local elections of May 2019, town councillors from across the political divide have been working well together.	
	Current Governance	The boundary of Congleton Town Council needs to be extended just beyond the new Congleton Link Road because residents living in that area are using the services provided by Congleton Town Council.	
	Change	Congleton Town boundary needs to be extended just beyond the new Congleton Link Road because residents living within that area are using the services provided by Congleton Town Council. Congleton Town Council needs the precept from those living just beyond the boundary of the new Congleton Link Road so that Congleton Town Council can provide the appropriate level of services used by those residents.	
An individual	Change	Kestrel close is half on east ward the other half on west ward. Means I have over a mile to travel to my given poll station when there is one at the end of the road.	

Coole Pilate - no representation received

Cranage - 6 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
------------	-------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------

An individual	Example of good governance	I really like the way the parish council have organised litter picks and championed local causes. They are very good at chasing up highways issues and ensuring planning proposals are sensibly vetted and challenged where appropriate	
	Current Governance	Parish council is really focused on local issues. Ward councillor is useless by comparison and that role could be scrapped.	
	No Change	I believe the size and governance of the parish is just about right and the number of parish councillors is sufficient to bring the right level of expertise and local knowledge to ensure sensible consideration and review of local issues and priorities. As I mentioned previously I have no idea what the ward councillors offer. They don't appear to add any value whatsoever and can't even follow up the simplest of actions. They talk a good game but deliver no end product.	
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	With the dreadful Rudheath Lodge Farm quarry application in Cranage, Goostrey and Allostock, local residents felt completely let down by the surrounding Parish Councils. It was only following local community complaints, pressure and over 1000 planning objections that the 3 x Parish Councils joined forces and it was only then that local residents witnessed any type of appropriate joined up thinking and decision making appropriate to the wider area covered by the 3 Parishes as opposed to the selfish and more localised attitude that had previously prevailed which was of no benefit to local residents, whatsoever.	
	Current Governance	Whilst this is a small Parish the majority of elected members all reside on one estate at one end of the Parish and therefore the decisions made by the Parish tend to prioritise that area (disproportionate funding to the disadvantage of everyone else) to the detriment of the rest of the Parish who have more in common with Goostrey and Allostock Parish Councils.	
	Change	Cranage Parish Council represent a ridiculously small number of residents and as I have said previously their decision making is localised and biased to one small area with disregard for the wider issues surrounding the Parish. A merge with Goostrey Parish Council would make sense as both Parish areas have similar issues which would be addressed much more effectively if both Parish Councils were joined together. A merge for both with Allostock as well would be even better but I do appreciate that they sit within Cheshire West & Chester	
An individual	Example of good governance	Assisted as a volunteer in preparation of the first Parish Plan	
	Current Governance	Works well at present	
	No Change	Works well at present due to contribution from Parish Councillors and their efforts to involve parishioners	
An individual	Example of good governance	Litter pick	
	No Change		
An individual	Current Governance	Interested in local activities, provides local activities and communicates local activities and issues	
	No Change	There's no reason for change as current arrangements work well. The current Parish Council works well and communicates all that is needed without too much bureaucracy	

An individual	Current Governance	Tend to be ignored by higher government	
	Change	Merging of parishes should reduce the annual precept that seems to be used to supply service that is already paid for through council tax.	

Crewe Central - no representation received

Crewe - East - no representation received

Crewe - North- no representation received

Crewe - South - no representation received

Crewe - St Barnabas - no representation received

Crewe - West - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Change	An expensive layer of government not required and was told this layer would be abolished when Cheshire East was created	

Crewe Green - no representation received

Disley - 5 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	No. The Parish council led on the writing of the neighbourhood plan but it is worthless. For example they worded it in a way to allow allotments to be sold. Most of the plan won't be acted on and the timescale is too short to allow it to be effective.	
	Current Governance	The Parish Council is trying to sell Parish land for housing. Residents were not consulted. They don't seek residents' views for major decisions like this. The Parish Council has no power and is over ruled by CEC on planning matters. Do we need a parish council if they have no power to change anything?	
	Change	It needs to be Disley and Newtown Parish Council. Make it party political free - more people would stand.	

On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	<p>Disley Parish Council (DPC) is a strong organisation which is developing lots of links and partnerships within Cheshire East. These links and partnerships have provided excellent opportunities for working together for the benefit of Disley and Newtown residents. It is anticipated that many of the joint initiatives will result in an efficient and effective use of scarce financial and other resources. Examples include: Bollington, Disley and Poynton Care Community (BDP) This is a relatively recent innovation, but it is already making a difference in Disley. It has opened up channels of communication between DPC, Cheshire East Social Services and the NHS. It is starting to lead to joined up working and thinking in areas such as the provision of support for dementia sufferers and their carers, dealing with social isolation and help for more vulnerable members of the community. There is a lot more work to be done but early signs are very encouraging and there are several new initiatives in progress in Disley. Disley Library is about to launch a Home Library/Befriending service in partnership with Disley Parish Council. The response to the Time to Talk initiative in Disley was extremely positive. Poynton Area Community Partnership (PACP) Disley Parish Council regularly attends PACP meetings. DPC and community organisations have benefitted from several PACP grants for a variety of projects. PACP has enabled good ideas to be shared between the member councils and has enabled members to work together more effectively. Police Community Support Officer (PCSO) DPC has an excellent working relationship with local police and greatly values the work done by the PCSO. A regular surgery is held jointly with both a councillor and the PCSO in attendance to deal with issues raised by residents. Macclesfield Police and Disley PCSO attended a presentation for residents given by Cheshire East Trading Standards on Doorstep Crime Awareness. Resident feedback on this event was extremely positive and this is a good example of DPC, CEC and the police working together effectively for the benefit of the community.</p>	
	Current Governance	<p>Disley borders both Greater Manchester and Derbyshire. Despite this, there is a real sense of Disley being a Cheshire village, rather than being a suburb of Greater Manchester. Disley has an extremely strong sense of community as evidenced by the many local clubs, societies and facilities. Many of these enjoy excellent relationships with Disley Parish Council (DPC). Disley Parish Council is a strong and well structured organisation which has regular engagement with the residents of Disley and Newtown by various means including a printed village newsletter, monthly e-bulletin, website and social media in addition to the monthly council meetings and the council office located in the community centre. DPC provides some vital local services such as the extremely successful Disley Community Bus Scheme and the very well used Community Centre. The responsibility for running the Community Centre was taken over from Cheshire East Council in 2012 who were running this facility at a substantial loss. In 2019/20 it is on target to breakeven/make a small surplus. The community centre is now very intensively used with very few spare time slots. The Disley and Newtown Neighbourhood Plan passed a referendum in 2018 after a substantial amount of work by residents working in partnership with Disley Parish Council. The parish council runs two play areas and three allotment sites and is responsible for organising several highly successful village events each year including the Christmas Extravaganza. Other events have included Health and Wellbeing Shows, Cycling Festivals, Community Litter Picks. DPC supports other community events such as the annual Well Dressing Festival and Disley and Lyme Show. The current project is the VE Day 75 Celebrations in partnership with the National Trust at Lyme Park. Many local groups and societies are working on this event with DPC and the National Trust. DPC has a vital role in facilitating all the above village activities and events and provides a focus for village life. For many residents it provides a first port of call for information about local services including services provided by Cheshire East Council. In effect, DPC provides a signposting service to CEC services. DPC also provides a considerable amount of support for more vulnerable members of the community. We believe therefore that Disley Parish Council does reflect local identities and interests, and it does provide an effective and convenient local government. However, we have marked our response 'tend to agree'. The reason for this is that there is a perennial view that our working relationship with Cheshire East could be much more effective. There is a perception within DPC, and in our community that we are Cheshire East's forgotten village. This manifests itself typically around highways issues where the contrast between Disley in Cheshire East and our neighbours in Greater Manchester, and in Derbyshire are self-evident. They appear to have better maintained highways and developed road safety initiatives. The village also has a significant pollution challenge and the view from many is that we are unsupported by Cheshire East on this. Again, DPC and residents inevitably compare and contrast the initiatives being run in Greater Manchester.</p>	

	Unsure/ don't know if change required	Disley Parish Council feels that it operates effectively and plays a significant and positive impact in our community. It has a strong track record in developing and maintaining initiatives. In order to maintain this effectiveness, we do sense that more resources are required, and potentially more elected representatives will be needed. We do also feel that Cheshire East do not look after us as well as they could, and this relationship requires a review.	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	

Dodcott Cum Wilkesley - No representation received

Doddington - No representation received

Eaton - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	I live in Congleton, however the Parish boundary for Congleton East/Eaton runs through my back garden. Consequently, I cannot vote for anything Congleton based as my Parish is classed as Eaton. I think that with adoption of the Local Plan Strategy (and the emerging Site Allocations and Development Policies Document) parish boundaries need to be carefully looked at to enable residents to have a meaningful say in what happens in their settlements.	
	Change	I live in Congleton, however the Parish boundary for Congleton East/Eaton runs through my back garden. Consequently, I cannot vote for anything Congleton based as my Parish is classed as Eaton. I think that with adoption of the Local Plan Strategy (and the emerging Site Allocations and Development Policies Document) parish boundaries need to be carefully looked at to enable residents to have a meaningful say in what happens in their settlements. Therefore I suggest that the Parish boundary for Eaton be amended to exclude properties on Malhmadale Road, Crompton Close and possibly Bridestones Place.	

Edleston - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Change	The Malbank Waters development needs to change to be in a Nantwich Parish. I know these are historical boundaries but it very much forms part of Nantwich. This is even more of the case when Edleston is included in the Eddisbury constituency and not the Crewe and Nantwich Constituency despite the residents living in Nantwich.	

Egerton - No representation received

Faddiley - No representation received

Gawsworth - Moss- No representation received

Gawsworth - Village- 2 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Trying to force new builds on locals despite opposition.	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	No open comments received	
On behalf of town/parish council	Not specifically specified	Summary of response (see supporting documents for full response) Parish Council undertook a community survey, in which 89% said they would oppose Gawsworth being integrated into Macclesfield Town Council. Additionally, a 155-signature petition was presented with this same stance. Gawsworth Parish Council is against integration into Macclesfield Town Council and is against any border alterations. Gawsworth Village and Gawsworth Moss should be unified to alleviate issues with finding enough local Councillors. Alternatively, Gawsworth Moss ward should be extended to include LPS15 and the extent of LPS19 which is currently with Gawsworth Parish or the community should be given the opportunity to change the name of the area. The amount of Councillors for this area should remain 9, with 5 for Gawsworth Village and 4 for Gawsworth Moss.	Full Response

Goostrey - 3 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Goostrey neighbourhood plan adoption as part of Cheshire East Plan. Cheshire East is somewhat dysfunctional however. Recommendations from Goostrey PC Seem to be rejected or discounted by CEC sometimes.	
	Current Governance	Residents can discuss concerns relating to Goostrey with local Councillors. Can attend council meetings to ask questions. Goostrey PC formulated a neighbourhood plan in consultation with residents. What effect this has with CEC is less clear, particularly in relation to planning infrastructure.	
	No Change	Goostrey PC seems to function well. Represents wishes. Has an appropriate number of councillors. Works within the budget constraints set. Charges an acceptable pre-cept. I do not believe that Goostrey should be further subdivided into smaller units. I believe Goostrey should stand alone. Some of what happens in Goostrey is heavily affected by the views of Jodrell Bank Observatory and the effect that changes within Goostrey would have on their operations (particularly in planning). To some extent therefore Goostrey is better to be considered as a separate entity. It would not be sensible to include it within Holmes Chapel for instance, even though Holmes Chapel is our service centre for high schools, doctors, libraries etc.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Goostrey Parish Council created a Neighbourhood Plan which was well received. The Parish Council also organises social events and runs the village hall.	
	Current Governance	There is a new development in Goostrey of 40 houses called 'Blackberry Gardens'. The sole access is from New Platt Lane in Goostrey village which is in Cheshire East. However the actual houses themselves are just over the border in Cheshire West. The houses have a Goostrey postal address, and when they come up for sale they are advertised as being in Goostrey (a position upheld by the Advertising Standards Authority), the residents use Goostrey facilities and clubs and see themselves as part of the Goostrey community. The nearest primary school is in Goostrey village. However because the houses are in CW&C the residents do not receive communications or consultations regarding Goostrey matters. Although they have to walk/drive through Goostrey to access their homes they are isolated from the community.	
	Change	See previous comments	
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	The entrance to Blackberry Gardens (off New Platt Lane) is in Goostrey, Cheshire East, however, the rest of the street is including all of the housing is in Allostock, Cheshire West. This makes no sense as all the facilities that the householders use are in Goostrey as it is the nearest Village, Allostock is much further away across the A50. Goostrey Parish Council proposes that the Whole of Blackberry Gardens should be part of Goostrey, not Allostock.	

Great Warford - No representation received

Handforth - East - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Generally no- decisions are made by Cheshire East without reference/ consultation with the parish council- e.g. traffic management at the Sagars Road site where an agreement with the developers prohibiting site traffic from using the single lane but two way traffic route Bulkeley Road was overturned by the Council highways officer without consultation	
	Current Governance	Over the next 5-10 years the population of Handforth will roughly double due to the new developments at Sagars Road/ Meriton Road in the West ward and 1500 on the Garden Village site in the East Ward - the number of parish councillors and split of wards should be reallocated to reflect this increased population	
	Change	The Sagars Road/ Meriton Road development of 225 houses is currently located in Styal parish but is accessed for both car , delivery , train and pedestrian from Handforth and residents of the houses will inevitably use Handforth community and commercial health and education facilities - it makes no sense for those residents to be deprived of the opportunity to influence the parish council which will be responsible for its services. The area of the development should be reallocated into Handforth West parish ward. With the virtual doubling of the electorate it will be necessary for the number of Parish councillors to be increased to maintain the relativity between electorate and councillors I would suggest an additional councillor for the West ward to cover the Sagars / Meriton Road increased population and another for the East ward to cover the Garden Village electorate . In addition the parish should have N increase in Ward councillors from the present 2 to 3 representatives.	

Handforth - South- 4 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	1. Collaboration between CEC, HPC and the Handforth War Memorial Foundation with respect to the erection of the Handforth War memorial on CEC Highways land. 2. Collaboration between CEC, HPC and the Handforth Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group re: the drafting of the Handforth Neighbourhood Plan. 3. Collaboration between CEC, HPC and the Friends of Handforth Station re: a successful application (for lift installation at Handforth Station) to Access for All	
	Current Governance	Historically, the number of parish councillors has been too low and too unevenly distributed to obtain a balanced and representative view. The work of the parish council has often been marred by factional infighting. This problem will likely disappear by increasing the number of parish councillors to at least nine in line with all the new house building that is to occur within the parish. Historically, a lack of engagement between officers of Cheshire East Council and Handforth Parish Council has led to some ill-considered planning decisions.	
	Change	As a former Handforth parish councillor, and as the former chair of the Handforth Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group, I wish to make the following comments:1. The parish boundary - The housing development between Clay Lane and Sagars Road currently lies within the parish of Styal but is to have no direct road (suitable for cars and larger vehicles) connection with Styal village. It is anticipated that the 217 homes to be built on this site (now called The Fairways, Handforth) will have Handforth postal addresses and that the occupants thereof will likely use public service facilities (e.g. health centre, library, station, schools, churches) located in Handforth rather than Styal. These considerations lead to the conclusion that the parish boundary of Handforth should be extended to include the Clay Lane/Sagars Road housing development. The parish boundary of Handforth elsewhere to remain unaltered.2. Should Handforth parish be divided into wards? - Handforth parish is currently divided into West, East and South wards. The idea has been floated that Handforth parish should cease to be divided into wards. However, this seems undesirable because it could lead to an inappropriate (non-representative) distribution of councillors within the parish.3. Should Handforth have a new Garden Village Ward? - I do not think this a good idea because it would have a deleterious effect on the social integration of Garden Village residents into the existing village of Handforth.4. Handforth West ward - I believe that that, except for the addition of the Clay Lane/Sagars Road housing development, the West ward should remain unchanged. Assuming an average of two electors per household, adoption of the Clay Lane/Sagars Road site by the West ward would increase the number of electors from a current figure of 2,159 to 2593. The West ward is currently represented by 3 parish councillors.5. Handforth East Ward - I believe that the East ward should be extended to encompass the northern half of the Garden Village i.e. that part of the garden Village lying north of the Garden Village high street. This would add approximately 750 new residences to the East ward. Assuming an average of 2 electors per household this would increase the number of East ward electors from the current figure of 1645 to 3145. The East ward is currently represented by 2 parish councillors.6. Handforth South Ward - I believe that the South ward should be extended to encompass the southern half of the Garden Village i.e. that part of the garden Village lying south of the Garden Village high street. This would add approximately 750 new residences to the South ward. Completion of the care village of Coppice Way and the housing estate behind Wadsworth Close/Hill Drive will add a further 108 and 175 new homes to the south ward respectively. Assuming an average of 2 electors per household this would increase the number of South ward electors from the current figure of 1353 to 3419. The South ward is currently represented by 2 parish councillors.7. The total number of Handforth parish councillors - By law, parish councils must have at least 5 councillors, but, other than this minimum, there are no statutory or recommended numbers. Handforth parish council currently comprises seven councillors. In order to allow for the large numbers of new homes being built within the parish, I believe that the number of parish councillors should be increased to at least nine. One extra councillor should be allocated to each of the extended (see above) East and South wards.8. Handforth electoral ward - It is anachronistic that the Handforth electoral ward boundary extends outside the parish boundary to encompass a part of Wilmslow parish, including much of the Colshaw Farm estate and housing as far south as the cemetery on	

		Wilmslow Road. I feel that the boundary of the Handforth electoral ward should be identical to the Handforth parish boundary. Handforth electoral ward is currently represented by two ward councillors. I feel that Handforth's ward councillors should be involved intimately in the process by which S106 agreements are drawn up.	
An individual	Example of good governance	I mention just three that are viewed to be successful- The Handforth War Memorial Community War Memorial, this involved co-ordinated working between Residents, HPC and CEC to deliver a fitting monument to the fallen Handforth Neighbourhood Plan, good working relationships between a residents steering group, HPC and CEC led to a document being produced that portrays the views of residents for future improvements to Handforth Friends of Handforth Station submitted a successful application to Access for All for the installation of a lift for the disabled. Good coordination between CEC, HPC and Friends of Handforth Station successfully led to the grant being approved.	
	Current Governance	The number of Parish Councillors need to be reviewed in line with the numbers of new homes being constructed within the parish. Currently across the three wards within Handforth, there are 7 Councillors representing the interests of residents, this number should be increased to address in housing with one additional councillor in the South Ward and one additional councillor in the East Ward. Also, there is a distinct lack of dialogue between Cheshire East Council and the Parish Council, many decisions are taken by CEC are not communicated with the Parish until after the event especially on Planning matters and the allocation of S106 money, this procedure needs to be changed	
	Change	The Parish boundary between Styal and Handforth should be re-considered to take into account the new development between Clay Lane and Sagers Road as all traffic going accessing and exiting the site will have to go through Handforth. A further boundary consideration should be the anomaly that exists between Handforth Parish and Wilmslow Town Council where the boundaries differ across Coleshaw, Welland Road, Dean Drive and Manchester Road. I strongly recommend that the Handforth Boundary for both the Parish and Ward should end at the River Dean. Over many years this anomaly has caused problems for both residents and Handforth and Wilmslow Councillors.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Generally no- decisions are made by Cheshire East without reference/ consultation with the parish council- e.g. traffic management at the Sagars Road site where an agreement with the developers prohibiting site traffic from using the single lane but two way traffic route Bulkeley Road was overturned by the Council highways officer without consultation	
	Current Governance	Over the next 5-10 years the population of Handforth will roughly double due to the new developments at Sagars Road/ Meriton Road in the West ward and 1500 on the Garden Village site in the East Ward - the number of parish councillors and split of wards should be reallocated to reflect this increased population	
	Change	The Sagars Road/ Meriton Road development of 225 houses is currently located in Styal parish but is accessed for both car , delivery , train and pedestrian from Handforth and residents of the houses will inevitably use Handforth community and commercial health and education facilities - it makes no sense for those residents to be deprived of the opportunity to influence the parish council which will be responsible for its services. The area of the development should be reallocated into Handforth West parish ward With the virtual doubling of the electorate it will be necessary for the number of Parish councillors to be increased to maintain the relativity between electorate and councillors. I would suggest an additional councillor for the West ward to cover the Sagars / Meriton Road increased population and another for the East ward to cover the Garden Village electorate. In addition the parish should have an increase in Ward councillors from the present 2 to 3 representatives	
An individual	No response received	No open comment responses received	

Handforth - West- 3 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	No I have not. To my knowledge there have been none. In the past I have provided video evidence of appalling behaviour by certain HPC Councillors only for the MO to sweep such matters under the carpet (as far as I am concerned). CEC have never invited anyone to my knowledge to discuss such issues other than to suggest "training" which even this they cannot enforce. Its a joke.	
	Current Governance	Cheshire East Council has failed at every opportunity to take effective governance (in my opinion) of issues regarding problems within Handforth Parish Council. As an ex Councillor I am fully aware of the issues, difficulties and sanctions available to CEC regarding breaches of the Code of Conduct by Councillors. However, having made and am currently making complaints I note that if there was a political will to deal with poor standards this would NEVER have happened if CEC had listened to those making the complaints and drafted effective responses accordingly to stamp out such behaviour. As the first HPC Chairperson I set out to try and establish the Council as an effective forward looking Parish Council. The disruptive elements became apparent very quickly, resulting in my first complaint against three councillor's (two of which was successful with the third left to so as to not cause more problems - my mistake, I should have pursued this to its full conclusion). It is not necessary to change the boundaries of the council or number of councillor's The real problem is their bad behaviour, which if unchecked results in poor public representation, lack of democracy and ultimately behaviour which brings the council into disrepute. Without effective sanctions being able to be applied to councillors who act in breach of the Code of Conduct, act unlawfully and deem that they are immune from sanction other than the ballot box results in persons unsuitable to hold office being able to carry on in such a manner. Wake up CEC and smell the coffee.	
	No Change	The Parish boundaries as reflective of the geographic placement of rivers etc. which clearly delineate the natural boundaries of the area. To change them would only be as to assist political party interests and not in the interests of the public at large.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Generally no- decisions are made by Cheshire East without reference/ consultation with the parish council- e.g. traffic management at the Sagars Road site where an agreement with the developers prohibiting site traffic from using the single lane but two way traffic route Bulkeley Road was overturned by the Council highways officer without consultation	
	Current Governance	Over the next 5-10 years the population of Handforth will roughly double due to the new developments at Sagars Road/ Meriton Road in the West ward and 1500 on the Garden Village site in the East Ward - the number of parish councillors and split of wards should be reallocated to reflect this increased population	
	Change	The Sagars Road/ Meriton Road development of 225 houses is currently located in Styal parish but is accessed for both car , delivery , train and pedestrian from Handforth and residents of the houses will inevitably use Handforth community and commercial health and education facilities - it makes no sense for those residents to be deprived of the opportunity to influence the parish council which will be responsible for its services The area of the development should be reallocated into Handforth West parish ward. With the virtual doubling of the electorate it will be necessary for the number of Parish councillors to be increased to maintain the relativity between electorate and councillors. I would suggest an additional councillor for the West ward to cover the Sagars / Meriton Road increased population and another for the East ward to cover the Garden Village electorate. In addition the parish should have an increase in Ward councillors from the present 2 to 3 representatives.	
An individual	Current Governance	The local parish council spends and allocates monies from the Council Tax precept that are not to the benefit of all the residents in the parish. I also think they allocate and spend this precept unwisely as they cannot/have nothing better to spend the monies on.	
	Change	The number of parish councillors is to big for the allotted area.	

Hankelow - no representation received

Haslington - Haslington Village - 2 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The two member CEC ward for Haslington works well.	Full Response
	Current Governance	Haslington Village. The village ward is surrounded by open countryside, including Green Gap to the west. The parish is keen to preserve the green gap between Haslington and Crewe Green, and between the village and Sydney Road. Haslington is a sustainable rural village, it does not directly relate to Crewe Town. Residents do not see a requirement to change its boundaries.	
	No Change	Haslington parish contains three wards, cantered on Haslington Village, Winterley and Oakhanger. The population in the 2011 census was 6536, which will have increased following the completion of over 200 new dwellings since the census. The parish has boundaries with Sandbach in the north, Alsager to the east and Crewe to the south. Haslington Village - The village ward is surrounded by open countryside, including Green Gap to the west. The parish is keen to preserve the green gap between Haslington and Crewe Green, and between the village and Sydney Road. Haslington is a sustainable rural village, it does not directly relate to Crewe Town. Residents do not see a requirement to change its boundaries. Local Government representation.- Haslington Parish Council has 15 members, 10 representing Haslington Village, 4 representing Winterley and 1 for Oakhanger. All three wards have had substantial residential development in the past 5 years, with further major development already approved, increasing the numbers of residents per member of Haslington Parish Council. Cheshire East representation - Haslington Parish is happy to be part of a two member ward linked to other rural communities on the perimeter of Crewe. Haslington sees particular benefit in being part of a multi member ward with the improved level of cover provided by CEC Councillors. If Cheshire East need to rebalance representatives towards the south of the borough due to the increased population in the south as a result of the high levels of new development in the south when compared to the north, we would like to continue to be represented by a rural ward, not be included with any adjoining town.	
An individual	Change	Councillors should not be added on to the parish council without being voted in by the community, nor should they be co-opted in the same way.	

Haslington - Oakhanger - 1 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	Two member CEC ward for Haslington works well.	
	Current Governance	Haslington parish contains three wards, centered on Haslington Village, Winterley and Oakhanger. The population in the 2011 census was 6536, which will have increased following the completion of over 200 new dwellings since the census. The parish has boundaries with Sandbach in the north, Alsager to the east and Crewe to the south. Oakhanger This is historically a very rural area, with the majority of residential property along the B5077, and a number of isolated properties spread around the country lanes. Additional clusters of housing exist along Nursery Road, with a small development at the boundary with Alsager around the Kensington Close area. Whilst Haslington Parish Council would prefer to see the boundary remain in its historic position, it recognises that the residents of the major new developments in Oakhanger between the M6 and the western edge of Alsager may see benefits in merging with Alsager town. We request that a poll/referendum of residents to the east of the M6 is undertaken to see if they would wish to transfer into Alsager. Residents in "East Oakhanger" obtain most of their services from the town of Alsager, but have the benefit of lower community charges whilst with Haslington.	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	Background. - Haslington parish contains three wards, centered on Haslington Village, Winterley and Oakhanger. The population in the 2011 census was 6536, which will have increased following the completion of over 200 new dwellings since the census. The parish has boundaries with Sandbach in the north, Alsager to the east and Crewe to the south. Oakhanger - This is historically a very rural area, with the majority of residential property along the B5077, and a number of isolated properties spread around the country lanes. Additional clusters of housing exist along Nursery Road, with a small development at the boundary with Alsager around the Kensington Close area. Whilst Haslington Parish Council would prefer to see the boundary remain in its historic position, it recognises that the residents of the major new developments in Oakhanger between the M6 and the western edge of Alsager may see benefits in merging with Alsager town. We request that a poll/referendum of residents to the east of the M6 is undertaken to see if they would wish to transfer into Alsager. Residents in "East Oakhanger" obtain most of their services from the town of Alsager, but have the benefit of lower community charges whilst with Haslington. If "East Oakhanger" is retained within Haslington parish then the number of representatives for Oakhanger should increase to two reflecting the increased number of recently built or approved dwellings, expecting a population increase of at least 500 residents. The other recent residential development is more evenly balanced between the wards. Cheshire East representation - Haslington Parish is happy to be part of a two member ward linked to other rural communities on the perimeter of Crewe. Haslington sees particular benefit in being part of a multi member ward with the improved level of cover provided by CEC Councillors. If Cheshire East need to rebalance representatives towards the south of the borough due to the increased population in the south as a result of the high levels of new development in the south when compared to the north, we would like to continue to be represented by a rural ward, not be included with any adjoining town.	

Haslington - Winterley - 4 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	Two member CEC ward is useful.	
	Current Governance	Winterley. Historically the community of Winterley and Wheelock Heath has been split between the former boroughs of Congleton and Crewe. The boundary is not visible as you Walk through the area, the section of Winterley that is in the Ettiley Heath and Wheelock ward of Sandbach Town Council has no services or facilities. Open countryside surrounds the whole community, as reflected by the proposed settlement boundary in the CEC SADPD. The Parish Council propose moving the boundary of Winterley Ward (and of Haslington Parish) up to the A534 Haslington Bypass, and the southly tributary feeding the River Wheelock. This will include all of Elton Lane south of the bypass, the caravan homes on Western Park and Ashley Close This will require the movement of approx. 40 houses plus the park homes, and mainly open countryside / farmland.	
	Change	Winterley. - Historically the community of Winterley and Wheelock Heath has been split between the former boroughs of Congleton and Crewe. The boundary is not visible as you walk through the area, the section of Winterley that is in the Ettiley Heath and Wheelock ward of Sandbach Town Council has no services or facilities. Open countryside surrounds the whole community, as reflected by the proposed settlement boundary in the CEC SADPD. The Parish Council propose moving the boundary of Winterley Ward (and of Haslington Parish) up to the A534 Haslington Bypass, and the southly tributary feeding the River Wheelock. This will include all of Elton Lane south of the bypass, the caravan homes on Western Park and Ashley Close. This will require the movement of approx. 40 houses plus the park homes, and mainly open countryside / farmland. The additional population will not require any adjustment in the number of elected members to Haslington Parish Council. Local Government representation. - Haslington Parish Council has 15 members, 10 representing Haslington Village, 4 representing Winterley and 1 for Oakhanger. - All three wards have had substantial residential development in the past 5 years, with further major development already approved, increasing the numbers of residents per member of Haslington Parish Council. Cheshire East representation - Haslington Parish is happy to be part of a two member ward linked to other rural communities on the perimeter of Crewe. Haslington sees particular benefit in being part of a multi member ward with the improved level of cover provided by CEC Councillors. If Cheshire East need to rebalance representatives towards the south of the borough due to the increased population in the south as a result of the high levels of new development in the south when compared to the north, we would like to continue to be represented by a rural ward, not be included with any adjoining town.	
An individual	Current Governance	Parish councillors are local people, elected by local people , so they should know better than any one the problems in the area and the possible solutions to them. Their views should always carry the most weight.	
	No Change	No open comments received	
An individual	Current Governance	I feel that the retention of a gap between haslington and winterley is trying to be kept which is what the villagers want. Winterley is still has a nice rural feel with lots of equestrian areas within its boundary yet is still within reach of local amenities. The parish councillors are very much in touch with residents.	
	No Change	See previous comment	
An individual	No Change	See previous comment	

Hassall - no representation received**Hatherton - no representation received****Henbury- 1 representation received**

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	I live near Henbury ,Broken Cross we in this area appear to be being ignored on the plan to build over 200 houses on green belt land around Chelford Road	
	Change	Take concern of local residents and impact of massive house building project	

Henhull - no representation received**High Legh - 1 representation received**

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Current Governance	We have 10 parish councillors who all work hard in the interests of the parishioners.	
	No Change	Name of the parish is appropriate parish is of an appropriate size and population current number of councillors is appropriate for the number of electors current parish precept allows for the active and effective promotion of the well-being of its residents	

Higher Hurdfield - 7 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The children's play area and the adjacent car park are kept in good condition through maintenance arranged by the Parish Council.	
	Current Governance	The Parish is fully represented on its council with active councillors from every area.	
	No Change	This is a small parish with its own identity and I fear that that would be at risk if the boundary were extended to include another parish.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The Parish Council has been the driving force behind delivering a number of maintenance and improvement initiatives around the Parish. Working closely with CEC the local police liaison officer and the community, the Parish Council has pioneered initiatives such as energy efficient street lighting, the installation of safety related street furniture / signage, providing a defibrillator and improving the facilities for residents. Located across the Parish, the Councillors keep close to residents in order to respond to and feedback about their concerns and needs.	
	Current Governance	Higher Hurdsfield is a small parish with a committed, balanced and active parish council who are in close contact with residents. The Parish Council works hard effectively to interpret and communicate the community's requirements or concerns to Bollington and CEC, and to ensure residents appreciate the action being taken.	
	No Change	Higher Hurdsfield covers an area in which the urban edge of Macclesfield transitions into the rural Cheshire hills. As such it is important the Parish council understands, and is able to communicate and balance the needs of both elements of the parish. With Councillors representing and having an understanding of both communities they are best able to represent the wide-ranging need and sensitive of the community. The council works efficiently and effectively with motivation and commitment.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The Parish Council have been responsible for providing many services over the years. We have provided additional playground equipment for the recreation park. A defibrillator has been installed in an unused phone box that the PC have purchased from BT and have run classes throughout the Parish to make residents aware of how to use the defibrillator and to apply CPR. We have been responsible for part resurfacing of an unadopted road in the Parish and are currently awaiting delivery of some more suitable material from East Cheshire Council to complete the rest of the road. Two pleasant seating areas in the Parish are owned and maintained by the PC as well as a stone built bus shelter which we are renovating at the moment to provide better seating and are installing notice board to keep residents abreast of Parish news. At the request of the residents we were responsible for getting a row of dilapidated garages removed and have paid for the areas to be planted with trees and shrubs. We are in constant touch with residents and East Cheshire Council to work towards the immediate repairs required to roads, drains, lighting and current road layouts as necessary. Being semi rural and on the hilly eastern side of East Cheshire we regularly experience ice conditions during the winter and so we have purchased and installed five grit bins in strategic places which we keep topped up and ready for the residents to use as and when. Higher Hurdsfield is a small Parish of less than 400 chimney pots and as such does not need to pay for community buildings. There is one public house and is a traditional close knit community with a number of elderly residents. I feel that the Parish Council is fully aware of the needs of the Parish and if it were incorporated within another, larger council, then the local personal touch would be lost. All the Councillors are very committed to their work for the good of the Parish and go above and beyond what is required of them. We are currently working towards getting some additional double yellow lines and the moving of a bus stop in order to ease the congestion and parking around a particularly bad junction on the B5470. The Police Community Support officers attend our meetings and they have agreed to our request to the monitoring of the speed of traffic travelling along the B5470 through the village, by the use of a speed gun which they deploy as often as possible.	
	Current Governance	Having been on Higher Hurdsfield Parish Council on and off for over 30 years, I have seen at first hand the efforts that the residents have put in to keep the area a pleasant place to live in. The Parish Council is a perfect conduit for members of the public to voice their opinions and concerns and know that if a problem cannot be resolved locally, then it will be passed on to the relevant body for them to resolve the issue.	

	No Change	Being a small Parrish enables us to be in touch and be aware of any problems that may arise very quickly, we have Councillors living in each area of the Parish so that we have daily contact with our residents and are available at any time to listen and report back to the Parish Council with any concerns or requirements. All issues can then be dealt with at the next meeting of the Council who then liaise with East Cheshire Council to effect a satisfactory outcome. Our precept enables us to employ people to keep the seating areas neat and tidy and to do running repairs where necessary. The defibrillator needs constant supervision and maintenance which is obviously better done by a local Councillor rather than some remote person.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The Parish Council have been responsible for:-Providing additional playground equipment in the recreation park, seating areas and improved access to the recreation park. Providing a defibrillator, housed in a phone box and have run classes throughout the Parish to make residents aware of how to use the defibrillator and to how apply CPR. Provide pleasant seating areas in selected areas, which we maintain and a stone built bus shelter in keeping with the area, which we also maintain. At the request of the residents we have been responsible getting a row of dilapidated garages demolished and have paid for the area to be planted with trees, shrubs etc. We are in constant touch with residents and Cheshire East Council to work towards the immediate repairs required to roads, drains, lighting and other facilities and also improvements to the current road layouts.	
	Current Governance	Being a small Parish enables us to be in touch and aware of any needs or problems as they arise. We have Parish Councillors living in each section of the Parish, which means we have daily contact with our residents and are available at any time to listen and report back to the Parish Council any concerns or requirements. All issues are then dealt with at our Parish Council Meetings who then liaise with Cheshire East Council if necessary to bring about the correct result.	
	No Change	Higher Hurdsfield Parish is small, less than 400 chimney pots, semi rural and as such does not need or pay for community buildings. It has one public house and is a traditional, close knit community with a number of elderly residents. I feel the Parish Council are fully aware of the needs of the Parish and if they were incorporated into another Parish Council, their needs would be lost amongst larger projects. All the Parish Councillors are very committed to their work within the Parish and go above and beyond of what is required from them.	
An individual	Example of good governance	The Parish Council were able to liaise with Cheshire East to have new equipment installed in the recreation area. They have also been able to have defibrillator installed for public use. They are refurbishing the bus shelter and installing new seating. There are flower barrels in the area which the Parish Council look after which are very pleasing.	
	Current Governance	Because things are getting done to people's satisfaction and with having Councillors locally any problems can be put straight to them.	
	No Change	The Councillors live in every area of the village and as such are well aware of any problems that may arise and are easily contacted by residents. If we didn't have our own Parish Council it would be difficult to alert someone as to our requirements if a problem arose.	
An individual	Example of good governance	No. The geography is unique to Higher Hurdsfield CP.	
	Current Governance	Higher Hurdsfield CP is geographically closer linked to Macclesfield than to Bollington, but falls under the jurisdiction of Bollington Town Council. As a minimum the Roewood Lane area should be transferred to either Macclesfield East ward or Macclesfield Hurdsfield ward, but ideally the whole CP should be transferred into Macclesfield.	
	Change	The geographical proximity of Higher Hurdsfield to Macclesfield will make administration from here more effective than from Bollington.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	I feel no connection with the governance at all	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	No open comments received	

Holmes Chapel - 7 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Purchase of and successful implementation of the community centre.	
	Current Governance	They communicate well with the residents and act on their views.	
	No Change	Councillors are local and understanding of the village needs.	
An individual	Change	The Parish council seem to do what they want with no regard to what the community wants.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	No not really. There is little evidence or publicity around successful opportunities.	
	Current Governance	It serves many CE residents outside its current boundary and for which it gets limited recognition for improvements to the infrastructure including roads, schools, etc. The current governance arrangements does not allow for this. As a Local Service Centre, local governance arrangements do not provide opportunities to support the 16,000 to 20,000 residents that use and travel through Holmes Chapel. E.g. The parish has a population of 6k but the Health Centre has 12k registrants.	
	Change	The Holmes Chapel Parish boundary should reflect its proposed Settlement Boundary in the SADPD at the very least. Consideration of an extension to cover some of the Cranage Parish and encompass the Cranage village population, who make extensive use of Holmes Chapel services should be considered. In addition, Sproston which is on the parish border and between HC and Middlewich, is in Cheshire West. This needs to be considered in the review.	
An individual	Current Governance	The current Holmes Chapel parish boundary does not take account of recent housing developments which have extended the de facto 'real' boundary of the village.	
	Change	The new 'Bluebell Wood' housing development on the A50 just south of Holmes Chapel is technically in Brereton parish, which means that the parish council precept in relation to this development will go to Brereton. However, for all practical purposes this development is part of Holmes Chapel and the residents will use Holmes Chapel services. This is patently unfair and should be addressed by changing the Holmes Chapel parish boundary to include this development.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Not really, most seems to be 'fighting' with CEC officers over delivery of services. Current ward members do what they can, but the changes in populations and the use of Holmes Chapel as a Local Service Centre by surrounding communities reflects that the boundaries of Holmes Chapel need extension to cover these opportunities. Holmes Chapel is on the cusp of being a Key Service Centre!	
	Current Governance	Holmes Chapel Parish Council has a reputation in Cheshire East of 'punching above its weight' in representing its residents. CEC needs to do more to support this and in particular recognise the growth in population without receiving due recognition of improvements to the infrastructure including roads, schools, etc.	
	Change	The Holmes Chapel Parish boundary should reflect its proposed Settlement Boundary in the SADPD at the very least. Consideration of an extension to cover some of the Cranage Parish and encompass the Cranage village population, who make extensive use of Holmes Chapel services should be considered.	
An individual	Current Governance	Peripheral development has resulted in residents who are effectively part of the Holmes Chapel Community living in the neighbouring parish of Brereton	
	Change	Precept should go to the PC from developments which effectively form part of Holmes Chapel as the local service centre on which the residents rely. Also a more logical boundary on the north side should follow the line of the River Dane	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	

Hough - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Current Governance	The Parish Council works well for its local residents and supports all areas of the two Parishes	Map included in response
	Change or no Change	The Parish Council suggests a change to the parish of Hough to move the boundary from behind houses/field lines to the centre of the main road along Stocks Lane and Newcastle Road to include the area hatched on the appended plan.	

Hulme Walfield - Hulme Walfield - 4 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	I think that the parish boundary should be changed so that new housing areas become part of Congleton and Hulme Walfield remains a rural hamlet. This will enable more effective governance of the largest land area, with the representative dwellings.	
	Change	As previously mentioned in the survey the additional & proposed housing in one area of the parishes has altered the Parish structure considerably. The vast majority of Hulme Walfield & Somerford Booths parishes are rural. I believe that the boundary of the Hulme Walfield Parish should be redrawn to exclude the strategic areas in the Congleton Town Plan (commercial & residential development areas), so that its governance perspective is representative of the rural nature of the parishes.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The Parish Council actively engages with Cheshire East through most of the opportunities provided either in providing input to consultations, meeting with Cheshire East individuals on specific topics and Cheshire East events. The Parish Council encourage Cheshire East to continue with these engagement opportunities and the sharing of Cheshire East draft strategies	
	Current Governance	The Parishes of Hulme Walfield and Somerford Booths are well supported by the existing parish council and the strategic development on the edge of the town of Congleton has been actively incorporated into the future plans of the Parish Council	
	No Change	The change to Hulme Walfield associated with the strategic developments and the new link road provides Hulme Walfield and Somerford Booths Parish Council the opportunity to influence how the boundary of Congleton town is seamlessly integrated into our rural areas. No change will ensure that community governance within the area can continue to actively manage this aspect of the parish and ensure that the identities and interests of the community are maintained in accordance with our Neighbourhood Plan and the views and expectations of our parishioners.	
An individual	Example of good governance	No - note sure where these are publicised	
	Current Governance	As a resident of Hulme Walfield NOT Hulme Walford as you have spelt it I strongly disagree that the current governance arrangements reflects our local identity, the mis-spelling of our Parish name confirms same.	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	I believe the identity of Hulme Walfield has been lost in the redevelopment of the area with a huge increase in residents 735% increase, there is no infrastructure to support this increase and indeed the rural nature of our Parish is at risk, we do not want street lights, bus services etc., the beauty of our Parish was the quite leafy location.	
An individual	Current Governance	There is large proposed development in Hulme Walfield, which will change it from a village, but no amenities are to be provided i.e. village hall, meeting place for residents. Families who have lived in the village for generations, are now facing a boundary change, meaning they will not enjoy a village life anymore, as they will be surrounded by new development which has come about due to the building of the link road.	
	No Change	It is a small village community which residents enjoy being a part of, changing the boundary forces them to become part of a town which has different regulations / addresses and forced building developments around existing rural properties. It doesn't seem right that someone invests to live in the country to have new build all around.	

Hulme Walfield - Somerford - 3 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The local parish council is very communicative and shares whats happening.	
	Current Governance	It works for me.	
	No Change	It describes the area as it is. Why fix what's not broken?	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The Parish Council actively engages with Cheshire East through most of the opportunities provided either in providing input to consultations, meeting with Cheshire East individuals on specific topics and Cheshire East events. The Parish Council encourage Cheshire East to continue with these engagement opportunities and the sharing of Cheshire East draft strategies	
	Current Governance	The Parishes of Hulme Walfield and Somerford Booths are well supported by the existing parish council and the strategic development on the edge of the town of Congleton has been actively incorporated into the future plans of the Parish Council	
	No Change	The change to Hulme Walfield associated with the strategic developments and the new link road provides Hulme Walfield and Somerford Booths Parish Council the opportunity to influence how the boundary of Congleton town is seamlessly integrated into our rural areas. No change will ensure that community governance within the area can continue to actively manage this aspect of the parish and ensure that the identities and interests of the community are maintained in accordance with our Neighborhood Plan and the views and expectations of our parishioners.	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	

Hunsterson - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	No Change	Parish name is appropriate although Hunsterson seems to get merged a lot with Bridge mere and Dodington. There are very few local services and amenities especially for the elderly, even when they are willing to pay where affordable.	

Hurleston - no representation received

Kettleshulme - no representation received

Knutsford - Bexton - 2 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Incompetence in dealing with commercial matters.	
	Change	Knutsford Town Council should be disbanded. It is not credible and is not monitored, effectively reporting to nobody, none of the counsellors were elected, only there by default. They have squandered hundreds of thousands of pounds of taxpayers money	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/ parish council	Change	<p>Summary of response (see supporting documents for full response) • The information published by CEC with the CGR includes elector forecasts based on planning approval till March 2019. This information should be updated to consider the Local Plan Strategy site allocations through to 2030.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Town Council will strongly challenge the conclusions of any review that does not take into account this information (development of the town past 2025).</p> <p>Any such reviews should be carried out infrequently and thus should be designed to last until the next review (2040).</p> <p>Specific changes brought by Knutsford Town Council are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To include future planned developments, revision to the Tabley parish boundary to include LPS36A and LPS39 within the Knutsford parish boundary. - Restructuring of internal ward boundaries and councillor allocation, creating 5 wards each with 3 councillors. - Revision of parish boundary to include Longridge Business Park. - Revision of parish boundary to include the extent of Tatton parish within Knutsford. 	Full reponse

Knutsford - Nether- no representation received

Knutsford - Norbury - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Norbury Booths is predominately South Knutsford area either side of Chelford Road. However it also wraps around the Booths Hall estate and this means that land opposite Longridge in NE Knutsford that is a proposed strategic housing site will be isolated within this ward when it would make more sense for it to be in Over Ward as the housing adjacent to it is.	
	Change	Reasons given above. Boundary should be amended to allow proposed new housing site on Longridge to be in Over Ward Knutsford	

Knutsford - Over- 3 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The Knutsford Town Plan and Neighbourhood Plan both provide excellent engagement between the elected representatives in the town and the residents they represent, bringing in specific expertise in many areas.	
	Current Governance	Over ward in Knutsford, is the largest ward in the whole town, with 6 councillors versus the other 3 ward with 3 councillors each. I would like to see Over Ward split in to two wards to better represent the people living there, while also ensuring that new areas of housing are included within easily accessible areas of the new wards.	
	Change	As outlined in my previous response, Over Ward is large, with twice the population of other wards, and twice the number of councillors. A splitting up of the ward, while realigning the boundary to include new areas, would improve representation for this area of the town.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	There is currently 6 Cllrs for the Over Ward area but this is an area making up 6/15 of the Cllrs and not all the diverse needs of the catchment are served. a portion of the 6 respond to residents which means 1/2 Cllrs can rest on their laurels. In the past a Cllr left the area but refused to stand down.	
	Change	New strategic housing sites are proposed at Parkgate and at Longridge. The Longridge area should be included in Over Ward whereas under old boundaries it will be in Norbury Booths a totally geographically distinct area accessed by other main roads. New strategic housing is proposed at Northwich Road which will straddle Nether ward in Knutsford and Tabley. It would make more sense to be completely in Knutsford.	
An individual	Current Governance	Over Ward is too big. It should be split in two. It is twice the size of other wards and means we have 6 councillors to contact on issues rather than 3.	
	Change	The elections in 2019 were uncontested. The elections in 2015 were barely contested. I would support the number of councillors being reduced from 15 to 12, which would be in line with the former Knutsford Urban District Council - that council had far more responsibility. Meetings of 15 are inefficient. Boundaries need modifying to take in the strategic site on Northwich Road. Tatton Park should be within Knutsford too; we should have a say in how that area is managed through the council having a voice.	

Lea - no representation received

Leighton - Rural - no representation received

Leighton - Urban - 2 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Feel it tends to favour the more rural parts of Leighton	
	Change	Feel that our particular areas would be better served by a ward who's primary cover was urban rather than rural.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Current Governance	The Parish Council covering Minshull Vernon, Leighton and Woolstanwood serves the local area well	Map included in response
	Change	The Parish Council has resolved to request that its name be changed to Minshull Vernon, Leighton and Woolstanwood Parish Council. This is because, as it stands, the areas of Leighton and Woolstanwood are often unaware that the Parish Council covers this area. By changing its name, this will enable all residents of the three Parishes to understand the area that the Council covers. The Parish Council would also like to suggest a change to the boundaries of Leighton and Woolstanwood ward to incorporate the westerly side of Sunnybank Road, and land north of Pym's Lane and west of Minshull New Road (as shown on the attached plan). This will enable the boundaries to be along roads rather than behind houses or fields, thus creating a clearer demarcation.	

Little Warford - no representation received

Lower Peover - Inferior - no representation received

Lower Withington - no representation received

Lyme Handley - no representation received

Macclesfield - Broken Cross - 2 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	There is a dearth of information sharing from the local Parish/Town Councils which means the achievements or non achievements and the opportunities to become involved in activities/decision making are often not known.	
	Current Governance	In many cases the people elected to the Town/Parish council are the same people that are on the Cheshire East Council. This does not always get the local interest/issues represented in a fair and honest way as there will always be overbearing pressures from the Cheshire East overall control. This needs changing ASAP as it is difficult to see how anyone can perform the 2 tasks with the enthusiasm needed and find the time to devote to both tasks at the same time.	
	Change	There is a confusion apparent as to who and what is controlled by the Parish/Town Council as against Cheshire east and this needs clearly defining and publishing clearly to the residents. The number of Councillors needs examining and their qualifications to be councillors also needs to be qualified. Those with specific responsibilities should have expertise in that specific area and their names should be attached to the tasks. That way wasted communication will be reduced and hopefully replies to residents will have some substance of reality in respect of solutions.	
An individual	Current Governance	Macclesfield Rugby Club on Priory Lane and the new King's School Macclesfield complex on Alderley Road are both Macclesfield institutions of some age and standing. They are both located in Prestbury CP. It will not be effective and convenient local government if Macclesfield Town Council is unable to have a say in the development of these Macclesfield institutions. They and the land surrounding them should be transferred from Prestbury CP to Macclesfield Broken Cross ward.	
	Change	The ancient institution of King's School Macclesfield and the mature Macclesfield Rugby Club are both traditional Macclesfield institutions. Macclesfield Town Council must be able to have a say on their future development.	

Macclesfield - Central - 5 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Change	Macclesfield Town should include the adjoining conurbations that identify as Macclesfield - eg Prestbury, Sutton, Gawsworth, Langley, Henbury, Higher Hurdsfield and maybe Bollington, but not the rural countryside. These residential areas all use and come to Macclesfield for Services and aren't necessarily associated with rural/agricultural lifestyles. Sort of like the old Urban District Councils. The more countryside/rural areas are too sparsely populated to be served by a larger parish council and would be better served by small local parish councils. The outlying conurbations are all subject to the infill developments identified in the local plan and so would better be treated in the whole of a whole Macclesfield view rather than piecemeal parishes. Although their neighbourhood plans would help with a distinct view on local planning issues in the outlying aspects.	
An individual	Change	Macclesfield is the largest town in the vicinity and supports the local population with health services, leisure activities and retail opportunities. Extending the boundary of Macclesfield to include neighbouring villages such as Sutton, Langley, Gawsworth, Henbury and Prestbury would reflect this and also fit in with the Local Plan.	
An individual	No Change	Seems to be fit for purpose	
An individual	Unsure/ don't know if change required	No open comments received	
An individual	No response given	No open comments received	
Macclesfield - East - no representation received			
Macclesfield - Hurdsfield - 1 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
Ward Town Councillor	Example of good governance	Public meetings now held quarterly by Macclesfield Town Council. Most of the queries are to do with CEC which are then passed on by the Town Clerk or councillors.	
	Current Governance	Every ward is very different, however this is reflected by ward councillors representing them.	
	No change	No open comments received	

Macclesfield - South - 2 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Bollington, Knutsford and Wilmslow town councils have all successfully prepared neighbourhood plans for their areas. This is not possible for MTC since most employment and housing allocations, made to meet Macclesfield's needs by CEC, lie outside the area for which MTC has responsibility.	
	Current Governance	In addition to Macclesfield South ward, this representation also affects Macclesfield Broken Cross, Macclesfield West, Gawsworth and Sutton Lyme Green wards. Macclesfield Town Council (MTC) and Macclesfield residents are unable to comment on several development sites identified in the 2017 Cheshire East Local Plan. The sites are intended to meet the needs of Macclesfield, but several, if not most, lie outside the area of MTC's responsibility, in Gawsworth, Henbury and Sutton CPs. In addition, a part of Macclesfield South ward, the Penningtons Lane area, is part of Gawsworth CP. It is hard to see how this arrangement promotes the residents there to identify with one area or the other. Parts of Lyme Green Business Park and South Macclesfield Development Area are part of Sutton CP. They both clearly belong in Macclesfield South ward, together with rest of these two areas. As the proposed development on both sides of Gawend Lane should also be included in Macclesfield South ward, a case can be made for the whole of Sutton Lyme Green ward to be transferred to Macclesfield South ward.	
	Change	The employment and housing development sites referred to above are allocated by CEC to meet some of Macclesfield's needs, but the sites do not belong in Macclesfield. They belong to Gawsworth, Henbury and Sutton CPs and my local council, Macclesfield Town Council, has no say in what should be the development of sites to meet the needs of me and my Macclesfield neighbours.	
An individual	Unsure/ don't know if change required	No open comments received	

Macclesfield - Tytherington- 6 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	The boundary between Macclesfield Tytherington and Bollington West wards should follow the Silk Road and not run to the south-west of it.	
	Change	The ancient institution of King's School Macclesfield and the mature Macclesfield Rugby Club are both traditional Macclesfield institutions. Macclesfield Town Council must be able to have a say on their future development.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	No, we are represented by two councillors on CEC. one seems to never attend any meetings whilst the other, mr Edward's can be contacted through the local residents WhatsApp group. I never seen any way in which residents are consulted.	
	Current Governance	Tytherington has not local or parish council, so macclesfield dictate what happens here. There is little provision for both young and old and this must be because of lack of representation. All we can do as residents is react to what happens rather than plan and direct local needs.	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	Tytherington and Bollinbrook are two distinct areas lumped together in Tytherington ward. This is the reason why I'm unsure.	
An individual	Current Governance	Tytherington is basically a mix of housing estates, with no central hub and no community facilities. We have a small selection of shops at the top of Tytherington Drive that's about it. No Doctor's Surgery, no community hall, no youth centre. Tytherington has no identity, nowhere for local people to meet up, especially the elderly and the young. Facilities are worse than poor, they're abysmal!	
	Change	As already mentioned, there are no effective local services beyond very basic. For the well-being of residents, especially the old and the young, we need a central hub where people can meet and events can be staged.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Parish Councils in neighbouring Prestbury and Bollington	
	Current Governance	Poor attendance by one Cheshire East Councillor has resulted in a reduction in the identification of local issues and effective local government	
	Change	Tytherington has no Parish Council at present and suffers from a lack of community facilities within the area. There is no Doctor's surgery within the area and although there are some shopping facilities for many Tytherington residents these are a significant distance away. There are no youth facilities available and as there is a high density of housing with a high proportion of families this lack leads to the local youth congregating in various play areas resulting in anti social behaviour. Tytherington itself is geographical distinct for Macclesfield, Bollington and Prestbury and is also kept apart from the Hursfield area by the Silk Road. Macclesfield Town Council tends to focus on Macclesfield itself and the current Cheshire East Councillors are providing poor representation within the area. The creation of a Parish Council would allow the community a focus and forum for improving community and other facilities within the area. Prestbury and Bollington Parish Councils have both done invaluable work in improving facilities and the environment of their residents and I feel that the creation of a Parish Council would result in similar benefits for Tytherington.	
An individual	Current Governance	Nobody listens or acts on what we say	
	Change	The parishes costs too much out of our council tax	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Tytherington has no community identity. It has no community facilities. It has barely any public transport. It is essentially a collection of housing developments. There is no provision for the elderly, disabled, or youth. Once people have reached an age where it is sensible to stop driving, they have to public transport access to shops or medical centre. There are no lunch clubs or similar social activities for the elderly. Similarly, people unable to drive for reasons of disability are stuck in their homes with no local social activities. There is no doctor's surgery in the area with the nearest in Macclesfield town centre. There is no provision for youth clubs and there have been problems caused by youth from Tytherington causing trouble in neighbouring west Bollington. There is very little employment in Tytherington, so most people are commuting regularly out of the area. A better balance of residential and commercial use is needed. Bollinbrook and Tytherington are quite separate and distinct areas divided by the Bollin Country Park. Tytherington proper, which is the area north of the bridge over the river on Beech Lane and bordered by the Silk Road to the east and the country park to the west, is quite separate to Macclesfield, and particularly suffers from the lack of social provision or community identity.	
	Change	Neighbouring Bollington and Prestbury have their own local councils and I have seen how Bollington Town Council particularly are creating a community identity and tackling local environmental issues. Tytherington also needs that focus. Most people in Tytherington feel as apart from Macclesfield as do those in Bollington do and rarely visit the town. The issues that Macclesfield Town Council are addressing bare little impact on Tytherington. The 2 current Cheshire East councillors who cover both Bollinbrook and Tytherington have no public profile in Tytherington (One does not even represent us by attending council meetings). Tytherington needs its own council. This would provide closer contact with residents and provide a focus to establish the real needs of the community and represent Tytherington interests.	

Macclesfield - West - 3 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The Town Council is supportive of local community action and listens to residents' views for example in responding to planning applications as a consultee by the local Planning authority, Cheshire East Council.	
	Current Governance	Macclesfield Town Council has only been established for a few years compared to most other town councils within the Borough. Its range of services is expanding gradually and the Council is achieving both of the matters set out in this question.	
	No Change	The boundaries of Macclesfield town are well established and the Town Council is only recently established so it is too early to review boundaries.	
An individual	Current Governance	Well over priced for service	
	No Change	No open comments received	
An individual	Current Governance	I live near Henbury ,Broken Cross we in this area appear to be being ignored on the plan to build over 200 houses on green belt land around Chelford Road	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	Why do parishes pay extra, yet have to fight to be heard	

Marbury Cum Quoisley - 3 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The Parish Council have been active with regard to responding to residents' opinions and concerns. The Council has responded in matters such as planning and attempting to improve road conditions in the locality. Unfortunately the Cheshire East Council does not seem to give due importance to some of these responses.	
	Current Governance	The Councillors on the Parish Council are local residents; they listen to the comments made by other residents and act accordingly.	
	No Change	Marbury-cum-Quoisley Parish Council is also know as Marbury and District Parish Council; it covers the areas of Marbury, Norbury and Wirswall. Historically Councillors have been elected under these "titles" to represent their locality. It has been suggested that the 3 areas be merged totally into one so that Councillors serve the whole area. However, I feel that a Councillor who lives locally is more likely to understand their fellow residents' concerns, to have more knowledge of the area and to be approached by fellow residents with their opinions. That does not prevent a Councillor "for Norbury" working with fellow Councillors regarding a matter in Wirswall.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	Wrenbury do better than us and seem to be able to do more local maintenance. For us in Marbury, we have a low population and a large geography, hence few funds to get things done.	
	Current Governance	We dont have the funds we need.	
	Change	The current precept is too low to fund what the council would like to do. Increasing the precept would be difficult, given the current rating system, relying on a few householders to pay much more.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	Number of Councillors is too large for the size of area.	

Marton - 1 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
Marton Parochial Church Council	Current Governance	St James & St Paul Parochial Church Council has received a payment towards the upkeep of the Churchyard for over 30 years. We have been informed it is against the Parish Council's Constitution	
	No response received	No open comments received	

Mere- no representation received

Middlewich - Cledford - 1 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	No open comment responses received	

Middlewich - Kinderton- no representation received**Millington - no representation received****Minshull Vernon - 1 representations received**

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	The Parish Council has resolved to request that its name be changed to Minshull Vernon, Leighton and Woolstanwood Parish Council. This is because, as it stands, the areas of Leighton and Woolstanwood are often unaware that the Parish Council covers this area. By changing its name, this will enable all residents of the three Parishes to understand the area that the Council covers.	

Mobberley- no representation received**Moston - no representation received****Mottram - Newton - 1 representations received**

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	Highways issues, improving of a junction which caused problems for local residents. Campaigning on behalf of a local business in planning issues which safeguarded jobs in the area.	
	Current Governance	As we are residents of the Parish we know and speak to other residents and can respond to their requests and concerns.	
	No Change	The Parish although relatively small is able to react to and deliver the requirements of the residents who may otherwise feel ignored. The number of Councillors enables us to represent the residents at various committees and groups	

Mottram -St Andrew - 4 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Dealing with road safety issues that have included junction improvements at the crossroads in Newton where Mill Lane meets Lees Lane and imposing a 40 mph speed restriction on Lees Lane and arranging for Lees Lane to be reprofiled and resurfaced that has resulted in a much safer road and stopped the regular accidents that occurred on Lees Kane. Worked with the community to prevent a helicopter flight school being installed in Mill Lane that would have had serious implications for the farmer's livestock. Worked with the highways team to resolve drainage issues due to blocked highway drains. Worked with the fire and rescue department to improve the identification of fire hydrant locations. Worked with Peaks and Plains to acquire parking places for the residents in the old people's bungalows to overcome parking problems on the Crescent to ensure that emergency vehicles could gain access to houses on the Crescent.	
	Current Governance	All councillors are local and know the area. They are very approachable and listen to residents concerns and ensure convpcerns are dealt with.	
	No Change	The parishes of Mottram St Andrew and Newton combined several years ago and works very well as a joint council.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Road improvements. Mill Lane Junction. Road resurfacing. Gully emptying. Fibre broadband installed. Car parking improvements on the Crescent.	
	Current Governance	I know all the councillors and how they get things dealt with with in our village. By attendance at parish council meetings. The councillors listen to residents concerns and rectify the issues.	
	No Change	The existing parish council works extremely well and there is no need for any changes.	
As an elected Cheshire East ward councillor	Current Governance	The system currently in place works satisfactorily and does not obviously require significant change.	
	No Change	Mottram St Andrew, encompassing Newton ward as well, sits in the middle of a triangle of towns, being Wilmslow, Prestbury and Alderley Edge. The parish has its own identity, is well-established and the council has a good relationship with its residents.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Current Governance	We have little influence or power other than input to issues without real influence	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	Existing Parish is small and widely dispersed meaning that our decisions don't always affect all parts of the parish in the same way	

Nantwich - North - 11 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Nantwich Town Council	
	Current Governance	I feel connected to the town and kept in touch with local developments	
	Change	The large new housing estates being built on the edges of Nantwich - Malbank Waters and Kingsbourne, are not currently within the Town Council area yet their residents will overwhelmingly will use all the services that Nantwich provides. They should be included within the Town Council so that they can have a say in local affairs and help to pay for the services provided	
Town Councillor	Example of good governance	The transferring assets from CE to the Town Council such as Civic Hall, Market, allotments ecthave been a success. Other opportunities for further transfers should be considered	
	Current Governance	Nantwich Town Council is a good Council representing the views of the Towns residents	
	Change	The Town Boundry should be extended to take in Malbank Waters and the Kingsbourne Developement currently in Acton and Bunbury but less 1.5 miles from the Town. We currently have 12 Councillors consideration would have to be given for an increase number of wards should be considered two wards at present of 7 and 5 Councillors is not ideal at election times with a long list of candidates	
An individual	Example of good governance	I consider that Nantwich Town Council is very effective for the current Nantwich Town area, but is often asked to consider matters around its current boundaries. Eg Stapeley parish council wanted Nantwich Town Council to manage its community hall (on the Cronkinson estate) at one point, but would not accept that NTC should impose a charge for so doing - some of the Cronkinson estate is within Nantwich Town and some in Stapeley parish and it causes confusion.The whole of the Cronkinson estate should be part of Nantwich - it is contiguous after all.	
	Current Governance	My answers concern the whole of Nantwich Town council area, (ie Nantwich North and South) not just Nantwich North, which does not have its own governance/council.	
	Change	I think that Nantwich Town should be extended to include all the new estates that have sprung up around its periphery: urban Stapeley (Cronkinson estate etc), the new Kingsbourne estate (the new boundary should be Welshmans Lane), Malbank Waters etc. The number of councillors should be increased to at least 16 to cater for this and the number of wards should be increased to at least 4 so no ward has to elect the 7 councillors we have currently - 7 is too many for a single ward. The current precept is more than adequate for current council responsibilities, in fact Nantwich could and probably should take on more responsibilities from Cheshire East (eg museum, parks and gardens, leisure).	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	In 2012 there was significant transfer of assets from Cheshire East to Nantwich Town Council, including the Civic Hall, indoor and outdoor markets, public conveniences, allotments, Tourist Information, Town Centre Management. Since that time the Town Council has been able to reduce the overall cost of running the Civic Hall on the public purse. In addition there were a number of staff transferred from Cheshire East to Nantwich Town Council.	
	Current Governance	There have been housing developments that have been built very close to the town centre that should be included within the boundary of Nantwich Town. It is very likely that the residents of the new build properties will make use of all the services provided within Nantwich town. The new households will use Nantwich as their address; they will regard Nantwich as part of their space - correspondence, driving licences, passports etc. will all belong to Nantwich.	
	Change	Kingsbourne Development - There will be approximately 1,100 houses within the development that currently does not come within the boundary of Nantwich Town, yet is within walking distance of the town centre. Malbank Waters/Pear Tree- There are currently approximately 420 properties within this development also within walking distance of the town centre but does not come within the existing boundary of Nantwich town. Under the current arrangements the number of councillors representing the wards is appropriate, however, if the above developments were transferred to Nantwich North then consideration would need to be given to increasing councillor numbers, and/or additional wards. The residents from all the above properties that would/do benefit from the services delivered by the Town Council and everything else Nantwich has to offer without the Town Council benefiting from receipt of the precept from these properties.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Nantwich Town Council is a strong candidate for management locally, taking its responsibilities seriously. It only receives its parish precept from within its boundaries - yet the urban area built on its boundary do not contribute. Nantwich is hampered by having a large parish precept due to its services it provides.	
	Current Governance	The Urban area of Nantwich now includes its neighbouring parishes i.e. Stapeley Urban Area, Henhull Urban Area, (both north of the the football club & the top end of Queens Dr. These areas have Nantwich addresses, use the facilities within Nantwich. But do not contribute via the parish precept for Naantwich Town Council services, public toilets, Civic Hall, grants to community & colunteer groups which they benefit from. They should be part of Nantwich.	
	Change	Nantwich Town Council needs to be extended to incorporate the urban area of Henhull & Stapeley - as these urban areas identify with being part of Nantwich. Current no. of councillors need extending from 12 to probably 18 if Stapeley & Henhull urban area is incorporated. The old Nantwich borough council wards distorted the political make up of the Town Council, spilt into smaller wards, Weaver, Baronry, Birchin & Wellington. The present Nantwich North & South makes the voting system unwieldy with over 20 candidates listed per ward. I.e. Nantwich North 7 candidates for the Town Council.	
An individual	Current Governance	As Nantwich has grown with new housebuilding, the boundaries of the town council have not changed. That means that thousands of new residents, who use the facilities provided by the town, do not make any contributions towards them.	
	Change	Nantwich North should be extended to include the new housing developments off Marsh Lane and the Kingsbourne site between Waterlode and the A51. There is also the anomaly that for Cheshire East purposes the town is split into the two wards of Nantwich North & West and Nantwich South & Stapeley, whereas the Town Council wards are Nantwich North & West and Nantwich South. Stapeley is outside the town boundary and therefore makes no contribution towards Town Council services even though the residents make just as much use of them as the residents of the two Nantwich wards. So either Stapeley should be added to the Nantwich South ward or it should have its own ward within Nantwich Town Council.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of a group, organisation or club	Change	Nantwich Town Council boundaries should be extended to include new developments like Kingsmere, Malbank Waters, Stapeley etc. as residents in these areas enjoy the Town facilities, but do not pay the same rate as those within its boundaries. PDB.	
An individual	Change	The major housing developments around Nantwich, Stapeley Malbank Waters and Kingsbourne are mainly outside the border of Nantwich Town Council. Clearly the residents of the estates use the facilities of the town so it would seem reasonable that they should be within the Town Council boundary	
Ex Nantwich Town Councillor	Current Governance	The existing boundaries do not include Kingsbourne and Malbank Waters (estates). As a result, the Nantwich. Town Council does not benefit from the precept paid by residents of these estates. And yet most of these residents benefit from the services and maintenance provided by the Council. This is not fair to the current ratepayers.	
	Change	Nantwich is a river town, and yet Kingsbourne is isolated on the opposite bank. Geographically, this must be an odd case of a river town in the whole of the country. Culturally, residents of Kinsbourne see themselves as belonging to Nantwich. As such they use the town for entertainment, leisure and restaurants: they will join societies, the Church etc. Therefore they should make a contribution and not load the burdens onto the local ratepayers.	
An individual	Unsure/ don't know if change required	No open comments received	
On behalf of a group, organisation or club	Change	<p>Summary of response (see supporting documents for full response):</p> <p>Nantwich Town should be enlarged to include bordering areas that make extensive use of Nantwich services without contributing via the Council tax precept. These areas are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Stapeley Parish - Kingsbourne development on the adjacent west side of the river Weaver (currently in Action, Edleston and Henhull Parish). - Neighbouring Parishes, whose residents make use of Nantwich as their main service centre. - Town Council border developments. <p>Nantwich Town Council would be able to lower the local tax precept if these areas contributed either through integration or collaboration, which is a present source of frustration for Nantwich rate payers.</p>	Full response

Nantwich - South- 7 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of a group, organisation or club	Example of good governance	Nantwich Partnership has engages adjacent Parish of Acton Edleston and Henhull in contributing all one year's precept towards restoration fund for Nantwich Canal Aqueduct	Additional PDF. Response
	Current Governance	Boundaries of Nantwich town Council are too old. Not reflect expansion over past 20 odd years. Surrounding residents do not contribute to costs of Nic yet enjoy lower parish precepts.	
	Change	Separate file being sent via email	
An individual	Current Governance	Nantwich town council provides a resource which runs and manages the assets of the town very well.	
	Change or no Change	Developments in and around the town of Nantwich have caused significant increases in the numbers of residents who make use and draw upon the amenities of the town. Whilst this is in part beneficial to the commercial function of the town, a significant number of these residents actually live in parish areas which do not lie within the current boundary of the town. Therefore the precept for town runs the risk of not being sufficient to cover the additional costs of the bordering residents of the town. The recent developments of stapeley, malbank waters and the areas around the football ground should all be brought into the Nantwich town parish and should be required to pay a precept for the services which they are making use of.	
On behalf of town/parish council	No response received	There have been housing developments that have been built very close to the town centre that should be included within the boundary of Nantwich Town. It is very likely that the residents of the new build properties will make use of all the services provided within Nantwich town. The new households will use Nantwich as their address; they will regard Nantwich as part of their space - correspondence, driving licences, passports etc will all belong to Nantwich.	
An individual	Change	Nantwich North should be extended to include the new housing developments off Marsh Lane and the Kingsbourne site between Waterlode and the A51. There is also the anomaly that for Cheshire East purposes the town is split into the two wards of Nantwich North & West and Nantwich South & Stapeley, whereas the Town Council wards are Nantwich North & West and Nantwich South. Stapeley is outside the town boundary and therefore makes no contribution towards Town Council services even though the residents make just as much use of them as the residents of the two Nantwich wards. So either Stapeley should be added to the Nantwich South ward or it should have its own ward within Nantwich Town Council.	
An individual	Change	I think the parish needs to include the Malbank Waters development which is currently part of Edleston. Since Nantwich is growing I think the boundaries need updating to reflect that.	
An individual	Change	Nantwich should be served by one council/parish	
An individual	No change	No open comment responses received	

Nether Alderley - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Opening up of Alderley Park woodland trails to the public as part of the Bio Science Hub development. Purchase of old BT phone boxes for defibrillator posts. Securing attention from borough council on need for gulley clearance. Keeping the parish tidy and pretty - daffodil planting.	
	Current Governance	Little evidence that the parish council's views, especially in planning matters, carry much weight, though strong relationship with the ward councillor can help.	
	Change	Nether Alderley is effectively a rural suburb of Alderley Edge. That's where almost all the residents go for their doctor, pharmacist, daily supermarket shop, catch a train etc. As we are a dispersed parish we need to drive to Alderley Edge to park there for all the services described above. Alderley Edge is central to our daily lives. Yet, our ward is linked with Chelford and there is no formal relationship with the local government of Alderley Edge. Nether Alderley should be integrated within Alderley Edge so that services can be managed for the benefit of the majority of users. The administration and its boundaries ignore the natural geography of the area.	

Newbold - Astbury - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The Parish Council have completed a Neighbourhood Plan which has been instrumental in the planning decisions in the Parish over the past two years. The plan was a amazing part of bringing the community together. There are four public houses , two garden centres , a local primary school and a large local farm which has diversified in the community with shops and local businesses . The community communicates through the Parish Council and regular events.	Map included in response
	Current Governance	Newbold Astbury cum Moreton Parish Council are a vast rural Parish which amalgamated in the 1960's and cover a large geographical area. It has a full complement of thirteen parish councillors who represent nearly 700 electors. It was awarded Foundation standard under the Local Council Award Scheme in 2018.	
	No Change	There are two Parishes amalgamated (Moreton and Astbury) and it may seem that 13 Parish Councillors is high due to the electors but the area is geographically vast and to enable communication with the residents there is a need for this amount of Councillors. We deliver a newsletter four times a year and have a very effective website to aid communication . The Annual Parish meeting is very well attended . We hold monthly coffee mornings on a Saturday where two Parish Councillors attend to listed to issues within the community. The Parish Council are actively involved in the Annual May Day event which involved the local primary school.	

Newbold - Moreton - no representation received

Newhall - no representation received

Norbury - no representation received

North Rode - no representation received

Odd Rode - Mount Pleasant - no representation received

Odd Rode - Scholar Green - no representation received

Ollerton - Marthall - no representation received

Ollerton - Ollerton - no representation received

Over Alderley - no representation received

Peover Superior - no representation received

Pickmere- 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	This PC is run by a chair that only wants his own agenda	
	Change	Local services do not happen if there is a cost. village hall car park is a total mess the venue was used as a polling station 12/12/19 it was a sea of mud the hall floor was a complete disgrace. the problem was raised by a councillor at the January pc meeting and no action was raised the chair was not interested his only agenda is a new village hall on the IROS site which is a green protected space.	

Plumley - Toft & Bexton - no representation received

Plumley - Plumley - no representation received

Poole - no representation received

Pott Shrigley - no representation received

Poynton - East - 6 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	I personally don't know anything CEC have done to assist Poynton Town Council	
	Current Governance	Provides many excellent facilities help the local community and is very effective local government organisation. easy to access and very helpful.	
	No Change	All of the above. I believe there is no need to change anything the ward is fine the way it is . It is well represented the parish is of an appropriate size and the name is totally appropriate. I as a resident of Poynton do not wish to see any changes to boundaries. I live in Poynton and always have is this a way to push us into Hazel Grove ?	
An individual	Example of good governance	Poynton Town Council	
	Current Governance	The Poynton East ward is generally well drawn for allowing representation of the eastern part of Poynton village and Higher Poynton.	
	No Change	Poynton East ward is generally well drawn. The name, size and operations of the Town Council work well. The only issue is the uncertain boundary in the Dickens Lane, Vernon Road and Spring Road areas. Both roads are divided between the Poynton East and Poynton West wards. For Dickens Lane, the western section of the road is divided between the two wards along the middle of the road. The whole of the northern side (odd house numbers) is in Poynton East. However, on the southern side, only nos. 2 to 70 are in Poynton West. From number 72 eastwards, both sides of Dickens Lane are in Poynton East, and the ward boundary runs along the back garden fences of the houses on the southern side (even house numbers). For Vernon Road, the house numbers from 1 to 123 and 2 to 106 Vernon Road are in Poynton West, while from 124 and 108 Vernon Road to the junction with Dickens Lane are both in Poynton East. It would be clearer if the whole of Dickens Lane were in either Poynton East or Poynton West, and if Vernon Road was no longer divided between two wards. A further point is that planning permission has been granted for two large developments in Poynton West (Chester Road - 150 houses and Hazelbadge Road - 133 houses) and one in Poynton East (Sprink Farm, off Dickens Lane - 150 houses). The rise in population may affect the relative electorates of the two Poynton wards.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Neighbourhood planning , though this lacked coordination between neighbouring areas e.g. Poynton and Adlington	
	Current Governance	All one party and unrepresentative of community in age range, employment etc. Very limited powers	
	Change	The main employment areas attached to Poynton village are in Adlington Parish which impedes effective neighbourhood planning. The number of Town councillors (9 per ward) seems excessive	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	New homes Bonus - clear up efforts after funding in 2019 working with Cheshire East was effective and evident	
	Current Governance	The town council strongly agrees although it believes that both items could be improved by removing the anomalies with regard to the border between Poynton and Adlington (see question 5)	
	Change	The town council requests that the border is moved to the middle of Poynton Brook, which will mean Spenlow Close and the scout hut at Lawrence Place will then be in Poynton. The town council would also recommend that the number of councillors is reduced to 14 Councillors, 7 per ward from the next local election	
Ward Councillor	Change	I am the CE ward councillor for Poynton west and Adlington. I support the submission by Poynton Town Council that proposes:- 1. The number of town councillors be reduced from 18 (9 per ward) to 14 (7 per ward). 2. That the boundary between Adlington and Poynton in the area of the Poynton Industrial estate (which is in Adlington) be moved to follow the line of the Poynton brook. This would remove 2 anomalies. These are (a) the polling station for that polling district of Poynton West would be in Poynton, rather than Adlington and (b) the 2 southerly houses on Spenlow Close that are on the Poynton West electoral roll but are south of the boundary in Adlington would be in Poynton.	
An individual	Change	No open comments received	

Poynton - West - 3 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Poynton Town Council	Map included in response
	Current Governance	Poynton Town Council represents Poynton village and Higher Poynton - the two town wards generally provides a good structure for this. Cheshire East is based a long way from Poynton and the Town Council is the main voice for local residents. However, the southern boundary of Poynton West and the Hope Green area of Adlington is confusing and has been effectively obsolete since the 1960s, when development effectively moved the Poynton built up area into northern Adlington.	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	Name, size, structure of Poynton Town Council are generally fine. Only issue is the southern boundary with Adlington Parish. In the 1960's, Poynton effectively grew over its traditional boundary into the Hope Green area of northern Adlington. The Armcon factory and the (misnamed) Poynton Industrial Estate are both in Adlington although they are effectively part of Poynton. Cheshire East should consider moving the boundary of Poynton with Worth southwards to include built up areas of Adlington immediately bordering Poynton. The current border is slightly north of Poynton Brook. As a minimum, the border should be moved to the centreline of Poynton Brook, ideally Armcon and the Poynton Industrial Estate should be added to Poynton. Possibly also the Hope Green Nursing Home and houses on Hope Green Close.	
An individual	Current Governance	The Poynton Town Council makes no real difference to the community and in some cases confuses residents eg those who think that it has the power to make decisions on planning application or give their views additional weight.	
	Change	The Town Council in Poynton collects a precept which increases the total Council Tax but does little of demonstrable effect. It creates additional "politicians" who generate work to justify their existence. It should be abolished.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	No - not one. Which says a lot.	
	Current Governance	The town council are the most nimby anti-development bunch to such a degree that the objected to the proposal for a development in an area that they had said could have development - Shrigley road, so even when they write the rules they say no! They have consistently opposed development in Poynton but have not opposed developing the Sports Club which was left to the people of Poynton by Lord Vernon. They agreed the paving over of Park Lane which is a disaster because the cobble stones are in the path where cycles usually travel - so they are cant, and the reduced width of the road means that the wheels of HGV's also run over the cobbles, so they have sunk and buckled and are a pot holed nightmare - and not one of them thought that it might be a bad idea ?	
	No Change	There is no need to change the parish boundary between Poynton and the surrounding area. If its not broken don't fix it. If you are going to change boundaries then you would have to revisit the local plan as the policies and mapping were on the basis of the parish and boundaries at the time. Wasting tax payers money on changing boundaries, reviewing - with external scrutiny - the local plan following a change in boundaries is just a money pit. If you want effective governance then look at spending by Poynton town council as our precept keeps going up as they keep wasting our money on fancy ideas like £50k for some swings	

Prestbury - Fallibroome - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Macclesfield Council.	
	Current Governance	Should be joined with Macclesfield	
	Change	Merge all the local villages next to Macc with Macclesfield.	

Prestbury - Butley - no representation received

Prestbury - Prestbury - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	No, and in fact Prestbury Parish Council, along with many other Local Councils, is critical of the way that the New Homes Bonus (NHB) has been distributed by the principal authority and the fact that Local Councils were not given due representation on the distributing body. We note the Holmes Chapel Parish Council suggestion for an alternative distribution process. In view of the number of houses that that Local Service Centre has been required to accept within and close to its boundaries, it is quite understandable that their system should be based solely on house numbers. However, Prestbury Parish Council believes that a fairer system would be one which also took into account the allocation of employment and educational sites, new highway capacity and new car parks (private and public). Prestbury Parish has lost 50 acres of Green Belt due to the construction of a large new educational establishment. The development includes significant areas of hard surfaces including car parking and an internal road system. Site construction work (it is on a hill) has already caused flooding lower down from it. When the school is open, there will be significant extra traffic movements within the parish. The NHB should compensate Parish Councils for this type of development so that they could fund or part fund some mitigation measures and help to protect existing households.	
	Current Governance	Throughout its long existence, Prestbury has been a logical entity as a first tier governmental unit - going back to when it was first settled by priests nearly 10 centuries ago! (The name Prestbury - originally Preosta bugh - is Anglo Saxon). The ancient, extensive ecclesiastical parish that was formed prior to 1312 consisted of 35 'townships' and covered an area 20 miles long from north to south and was an average of 10 miles across. The modern day, far more compact, administrative parish was formed following the Local Government Act of 1894. It covers 1,165 hectares (2,880 acres) and the built part of the parish is defined these days by Green Belt which separates it from surrounding settlements. It has had continuously serving Parish Councils throughout its 125-year existence and it has developed a very strong and individual identity. In recent time the Parish Council was the driving force behind a Village Design Statement and a Parish Plan, both of which led to Supplementary Planning Documents and both of which describe in great detail the physical, social and cultural features which make Prestbury the very distinctive place it is.	

	Change	<p>According to Cheshire East's statistics, there are 142 parishes in the borough, 27 of which are sub-divided into wards for election purposes. This gives a total of 186 council wards. Background documents provide two different figures but there are either 134 or 135 parishes which have Town or Parish Councils or Parish Meetings. (Sixteen of these represent 44 grouped parishes). There are a total of 1,018 parish councillors across the borough and each parish councillor represents an average of 296 electors. However, the ratio of electors to councillors varies from one councillor to eight voters to one councillor to 3,703 electors. The smallest of Prestbury's three wards, Fallibroome, has just 85 electors. This number is expected to reduce very slightly by 2025, although it is not clear why. These voters are represented by one councillor. Butley Ward has 1,322 electors and six councillors, which means they represent 220 each. A very moderate increase in voters is predicted. Prestbury ward has 1,441 electors and five councillors, which means they represent 228 voters each. Again, a very moderate increase in voters is anticipated by 2025. There does seem to be a logic in combining Fallibroome and Prestbury wards, which adjoin each other, and having a total of six councillors for the combined new ward. They would then each represent 254 electors. In view of how close Prestbury's (then) two wards would both be to the borough average electoral quota and taking into consideration possible modest increases in the number of houses and voters in the next 10 years, the total number of councillors on Prestbury Parish Council should remain the same (ie. 12). (NB Based on predicted population growth, Prestbury is only anticipated to have an increase of 0.5% in the number of electors between 2018 and 2025, ie. up by just 17 from 3,623 to 3,640 - but there is an unknown factor in the outcome of the Local Plan, part 2, which is unresolved). There does not appear to be any case for grouping Prestbury with other councils. Certainly, Prestbury Parish Council, at the time of answering this consultation, is unaware of any case for grouping or clustering. (However, if any other council makes a suggestion in this respect which involves Prestbury, the Parish Council would expect to be notified of the fact). Nor is there any foundation for changing the name of this historic parish.</p>	
--	--------	---	--

Rainow - 1 representation received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Rainow village bus, installation and training for three defibrillators within the village, community events and do much more	
	Current Governance	Group of unpaid representatives who work tirelessly to maintain ethos of the community	
	No Change	It's not broke so doesn't need fixing or changing	

Ridley - 2 representation received

On behalf of a town/parish council	Example of good governance	I have discussed our situation with local residents who consider the anomalous situation to be quite ridiculous and wrote to the Council along these lines in 2016-2017. I know of no other parish council that has to suffer this inconvenient arrangement and the limitations of 4 Ridley councillors and 6 Bulkeley councillors. The arrangement is divisive and could generate a lack of cohesiveness within the parish council.	
	Current Governance	There is confusion amongst local residents that Bulkeley and Ridley comprise one parish council but are treated as two separate entities. For instance, I am a resident of Ridley but I represent Bulkeley because of the 3-mile residential extension allowance. However, I can vote only for Ridley candidates and not for myself and my wife could not vote for me because she was a resident of Ridley. We want Bulkeley and Ridley to be treated as a single entity with commonality between the two villages.	
	Change	The answer is given in my previous responses and in my submissions to Cheshire East Council in 2016/2017. We had confusion during the elections of May 2015 especially in Bulkeley regarding the eligibility of the voting rights of local residents. Under current rules, a resident of Ridley can be elected as a parish councillor for Bulkeley under the 3-mile rule; however, this same resident of Ridley cannot vote in an election for a Bulkeley councillor, not even for himself or herself or for his or her spouse because he or she is not a resident of Bulkeley. So whilst Bulkeley and Ridley is considered to be a single parish, separate rules apply to each of the two elements and councillors are elected to represent either Bulkeley or Ridley. In early 2017 all the residents of Bulkeley and Ridley received a letter from Cheshire East Council explaining the anomaly and supporting the proposal by the Parish Council to merge fully the two parishes into one single legal entity and not to remain two discrete elements who just meet together for administrative convenience.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	Currently Bulkeley and Ridley are two separate parishes and two separate wards in Cheshire East. For administrative convenience and economies of scale the two parishes have joined together and share one chairman and one clerk. The local elections highlight confusion in the parishes about the situation. In May 2017, Bulkeley and Ridley Parish Council agreed to contact Cheshire East and request that the governance of Bulkeley and Ridley be reviewed with the aim of merging the two parishes into one single ward parish with one council representing the two elements still under the name of Bulkeley and Ridley Parish Council.	

Rope - no representation received

Rostherene- no representation received

Sandbach - Elworth - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	No change	No open comment responses received	

Sandbach - Ettiley Heath - no representation received

Sandbach - Heath - no representation received

Sandbach - Town - 1 representation received			
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	<p>Summary of response (see supporting documents for full response)</p> <p>The portion of Winterley ward (Haslington) that borders Sandbach Town should be incorporated into the Sandbach Town Parish. Moving the boundary to the Wheelock & Haslington bypass and the southerly tributary to the River Wheelock.</p> <p>To reflect the estimated population increases by 2030, the amount of councillors per ward should be increased to 6. The Town Council is however opposed to any changes to existing internal ward boundaries.</p>	Full response

Shavington - Gresty Brook - 1 representation received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	The precept keeps on increasing yearly an over 90% increase over the last 4 years with absolutely no increase in a non existence service	
	Change	I live on the outskirts of the parish and therefore do not receive any benefits that the existing parish council believes it offers the residents	

Shavington - Shavington - 4 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Local and ward councillors keep residents well informed of current affairs.	
	No Change	I would strongly agree with all the above statements regarding name, size, effectiveness etc., However the level of increases in the precept are becoming beyond a joke.	
An individual	Current Governance	Useless don't listen to the residents and it's their way or no way. They waste public money on personal items which predecessors have managed with before. A clerk who is rude officious to people blocks rules and not a nice person	
	Change	Parish precept needs to be managed more appropriately in the parish by the cllrs. And not wasted on personal phone line for the clerk for example. Nor a new expensive email addresses for the parish cllrs. When in other areas everything goes through the clerk directly. Waste of money in cheap looking expensive signs eg in playparks when a competent person could paint in a contact number for the clerk for residents to ring. Playparks to be made and managed properly and closed at dusk as elderly residents have been subjected to harassment and nuisance late at night. Previously a parish Cllr was paid to lock and unlock this park. Now despite offers for free not willing to listen to residents who took time to visit the p council and the clerk shouted everyone down . As did one of the cllrs who doesn't even live near the said park. Bought a cardboard policeman cutout was stolen, second purchase vandalised. Never to be seen again . Waste money like it's going out of fashion. The chairman has free business advertising on the parish council blog where he gets paid a figure of money for each view in his blog.	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Unsure/ don't know if change required	No open comments received	

Siddington - no representation received

Smallwood - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	No Change	Smallwood Parish Council are happy with the existing boundaries	

Snelson - 2 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Preparation for the Neighbourhood plan in snelson	
	Current Governance	As a small rural parish the council tries to reflect local interests	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	Snelson is very small and I think could be grouped with an adjacent parish eg over Peover or chelford	
An individual	No change	No open comments received	

Somerford - 7 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	The headline project within the parish at the present time is the development, with the RSPB, of approximately 10 acres of prime agricultural land previously designated for residential development, as a community nature park. There has been extensive community consultation and involvement in the scheme over the last 4 years and the project is now about to come to fruition. It is proposed to set up a local volunteer group to help with the long-term planning and care of the site.	Map 1 Map 2 Property List Plan 1 Plan 2 Advert
	Current Governance	Somerford Parish Council has been a small but pro active organisation for many years. It has a full complement of seven parish councillors who represent and reflect the changing make up of the parish from long established rural properties to new housing estates. It was awarded Quality Gold standard under the Local Council Award Scheme 2015. The parish is a hive of activity at the present time with numerous housing developments underway or soon to be started, a new road scheme cutting through the parish, a community nature park in an advanced state of planning and development and numerous other plans and schemes in the pipeline. Each and every one of these has been, or is planned to be, the subject of local consultation and involvement.	
	Change	<p>Page 5b - The parish council has two proposals for change which it would ask the review team to consider. Both of these proposals involve a slight amendment to the boundaries of the parish.</p> <p>1. Holmes Chapel Road - It is proposed that the parish boundary line between the Somerford and Brereton parishes along the A54 Holmes Chapel Road be moved slightly so that all those properties which front onto the road, either directly or by roads which are accessed solely from the A54 are located within the parish of Somerford. Currently the parish boundary line meanders along the south side of the A54, sometimes incorporating the properties located to the south of the road and at other times not. Much of this property is new build and the residents not only believe that they are residents of Somerford but some of them actively engage with parish issues. Their neighbours on the other side of the road are, of course, actually located within Somerford parish. Somerford Parish Council was recently approached by representatives of Brereton Parish Council to request that the Somerford parish boundary sign at the northern end of its boundary be moved/removed because many of their Holmes Chapel Road residents believed they lived in Somerford. A list of properties affected is attached. A map of the location in question attached best demonstrates the issue. (to follow by email)</p> <p>2. Back Lane - It is proposed that the boundary line between the Somerford Parish and Congleton Town be moved so that all that area of land to the north of Back Lane, between the Radnor Park Industrial Estate and the existing Somerford parish boundary, be located within the parish of Somerford.</p> <p>The land in question has up until recently been open countryside principally used for agricultural/amenity purposes with just one dwelling located thereon. However, following the planning of the Congleton Link Road, the whole area has been designated for development. Much of that development will be located within the Somerford parish but an area currently being developed by Miller Homes under the name "Turnstone Grange" is actually located within the Congleton town boundary.</p> <p>The development is currently being marketed as having the address of "Back Lane, Somerford, CW12 4RB" and with a sales by-line of "Located in the aspirational parish of Somerford, Turnstone Grange is a new development of homes featuring our most popular house type designs."</p> <p>Clearly, most if not all of the new residents will believe that they live in Somerford parish and will in fact be immediately adjacent to a proposed new development, possibly by Storey Homes, to the north, which will be in Somerford Parish.</p> <p>It is suggested that movement of the boundary line will not only avoid confusion in years to come but will also be a logical outcome. A map of the location in question attached best demonstrates the issue (to follow by email)</p>	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	I like how congleton town council operate,, they of course have the critical mass to do so	
	Current Governance	I get the feeling the parish council wishes for a world that no longer exists and doesn't really want to have large residential areas impacting in its decision making. It operates with a model that's fine for a couple of hundred people.	
	Change	Frankly we need fewer parish councils. I would suggest we have parish councils much larger than the ones we've got. I'd suggest at a level that the district/borough councils were pre-1974. So for example in the former 1974-2009 congleton borough you'd have a parish/town councils covering the following areas,, Alsager,, middlewich,, sandbach congleton (town) congleton rural.	
An individual	Current Governance	Somerford PC have tried to address a number of issues regarding housing developments, roads, pavements (or lack of) and the new link road. Unfortunately without much success due to Cheshire East highways ignoring local concerns.	
	Unsure/ don't know if change required	With the new housing developments Somerford is going to increase household numbers quite substantially. I would think that this would require some changes to be made to the number of coucillors.	
An individual	Current Governance	I think it important to have a local parish council and councillors who know the parish well - not to be swallowed up into a much larger area	
	No Change	A Somerford's Parish Meeting 1896 began the formation of a council anwhich followed on to be a Parish Council as it is today!. It should remain with the same boundaries and keep it's name and therefore identity but as the population is increasing at an alarming rate I suggest an increase in councillors would be appropriate.	
An individual	Current Governance	Somerford is separate from Congleton with its own history	
	No Change	name of the parish is appropriate ...parish is of an appropriate size and population ... current number of councillors is appropriate for the number of electors ... delivery of local services is efficient and affordable ... current parish precept allows for the active and effective promotion of the well-being of its residents	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	
An individual	No Change	No open comments received	

Sound - no representation received

Spurstow - no representation received

Stapeley - 4 representations received			
An individual	Current Governance	Stapeley and Batherton work effectively together but the community relies heavily on Nantwich for many amenities	
	Change	The boundary between Nantwich Town and Stapeley in the area of Audlem Road seems quite arbitrary, with the land either side of Audlem Road, to the south on the junction with Peter De Stapleigh Way and behind Brine Lees School falling more naturally within Stapeley. Similarly, the area east of the Cheerbrook Roundabout would more sensibly be placed in Willaston, with the boundary being formed by the current A500	
An individual	Current Governance	Nantwich North should be extended to include the new housing developments off Marsh Lane and the Kingsbourne site between Waterlode and the A51. There is also the anomaly that for Cheshire East purposes the town is split into the two wards of Nantwich North & West and Nantwich South & Stapeley, whereas the Town Council wards are Nantwich North & West and Nantwich South. Stapeley is outside the town boundary and therefore makes no contribution towards Town Council services even though the residents make just as much use of them as the residents of the two Nantwich wards. So either Stapeley should be added to the Nantwich South ward or it should have its own ward within Nantwich Town Council.	
	Change	Nantwich North should be extended to include the new housing developments off Marsh Lane and the Kingsbourne site between Waterlode and the A51. There is also the anomaly that for Cheshire East purposes the town is split into the two wards of Nantwich North & West and Nantwich South & Stapeley, whereas the Town Council wards are Nantwich North & West and Nantwich South. Stapeley is outside the town boundary and therefore makes no contribution towards Town Council services even though the residents make just as much use of them as the residents of the two Nantwich wards. So either Stapeley should be added to the Nantwich South ward or it should have its own ward within Nantwich Town Council.	
An individual	No Change	No more housing development needed because infrastructure is overloaded now. Will have detrimental effect on Green Belt land.	
On behalf of town/parish council	No change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Parish Council is representative of the area of Stapeley and Batherton which comprises the former rural area with the more urban area of 1,000 houses. • The Council has made great strides to integrate both parts of the community and this has been successful with the parish having its own identity. • During canvassing for the elections in May and December 2019, Borough Councillors noted that the experience 'on the doorstep' was that residents wished to remain as part of the Stapeley & District parish. They were adamant that they should remain part of what they perceive as a village and do not wish to be subsumed within the Nantwich Town Council area. • The Neighbourhood Plan, which was adopted over two years ago, shows the aspirations of the whole parish and this bears out the view that residents wish to remain within Stapeley & Batherton (Batherton is the 'District' part of the name of the parish council). • The parish councillors come from both parts of the parish; with some from the urban area and some from the rural area. • The community hall is a local hub, and has a diverse range of activities and is well-used by residents in all parts of the parish. • There have been several community events in the hall, to try to bring together all residents, and these have proved to be very popular. • The Parish Council is pro-active and produces regular newsletters which are distributed to each household in the parish. • The Council meets 12 times a year and residents are encouraged to attend to ask questions under the Public Question Time slot 	

Stoke - no representation received

Styal - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	No change	No open comment responses received	

Sutton - Langley - no representation received

Sutton - Lyme Green- no representation received

Sutton - Lane Ends - no representation received

Sutton - Rural - no representation received

Swettenham - no representation received

Tabley - no representation received

Tatton - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Change	A Change to Rostherne Parish as this was the ole estate village to Tatton Park. I do not feel part of the Knutsford parish and have no wish to be part of Knutsford Parish. My Parish Church is ROSTHERNE.	

Tabley - no representation received

Twemlow- no representation received

Walgherton - no representation received

Wardle- no representation received

Warmingham - no representation received

Weston - Village - 2 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	They are local people with interests in the community	
	Change	I think that parish councillors should be voted in - by the community, not just by the councillors themselves, also councillors should not be co-opted onto the council without the consent of the community. I also disagree with parish councillors also holding a county councillors position at the same time.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	Summary of response (please see supporting documents for full response): The parish boundary to the south should be delineated by Newcastle Road and the A531, removing Wychwood Park from the parish but retaining Wychwood Village. Additionally, this would mean the incorporating of a number of border properties, currently in Hough & Chorlton, into Weston & Basford parish. The parish boundary to the west should be delineated by the West Coast Main Railway, with the land west of this line and north of the Shavington bypass falling under Shavington and the land east remaining part of Weston & Basford parish. This would mean the Strategic Area would be entirely within one parish. The parish boundary to the north should be redrawn alongside Weston Road to incorporate the entirety of the South Cheshire Growth Village into Weston & Basford Parish. The parish boundary to the west should be redrawn, with the land east of Englesea Brook Lane being incorporated into Barthomley Parish in exchange the entirety of Englesea Brook Conservation Area being under Weston & Basford Parish.	Full response

Weston - Wychwood - 2 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
Parish Councillor	Example of good governance	Do not know of any that deal with the above problem.	
	Change	Weston & Basford Parish Council covers 3 parish wards - Basford, Weston Village and Weston Wychwood. Weston Wychwood is totally different from the other two, being a relatively recent development compared to long established villages. It is covered by a different CEC ward and different Policing unit. It is further complicated as Weston Wychwood parish ward includes part of Wychwood Park Golf Club and associated residential areas, but the remainder are in a covered by a different Parish Council - Hough & Chorlton. I feel that boundaries should be changed so that Parish Councils are totally within one CEC Ward rather than being split across CEC wards.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Neighbourhood Plan	
	Current Governance	Parish Council in particular, bar some notable very good Councillors, is generally far too insular and not prepared to take Cheshire East to task.	
	Change	Wychwood needs a better level of representation and also the wider Wybunbury ward needs an additional councilor	

Wettenhall - 2 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Cholmondeston and Wettenhall Parish Council do not care for residents. Communication is non-existent. There are no notice boards, the web site is terrible with little information, there are no newsletters. Services are non-existent. Litter is rife yet we have no parish compact or bins. Financial info is only available through the clerk. Council were meeting at the clerk's house until last year when the vicar highlighted it wasn't very encouraging to residents. Where is the monitoring Officer?	
	Change	Cholmondeston and Wettenhall parish council are stuck in time warp. Council won't increase precept as they don't want to pay any money. Councillors don't want to provide any services as and I quote "we are not providing services that nobody wants and no one will use them". Council accounts have never seen an auditor.	
An individual	Current Governance	Parish council do nothing to represent the community. Precept too low. Govt initiatives and services ignored.	
	Change	There are properties in Wettenhall which come under Cheshire West and Chester. These are Ash House Farm and Ashcroft Cottages. Can someone look into this? No services are delivered as Parish council very poor in delivery and operations. How can they get away with it for so long? Roads dire due to large number of heavy goods vehicles. Someone needs to get a grip with this Council.	

Willaston - Village - 3 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
As an individual	No change	No open comments received	
As an individual	Change	The Newcastle Road running from the Cheerbrook Roundabout towards Blakelow should be included with the Parish boundary. The current boundary was based on when what is now called 'Old Newcastle Road' was the main A500.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	Willaston Parish Council has always effectively managed its own children's playground and allotment site. It also maintains the village green (although this is owned by Cheshire East) as part of the annual North West in Bloom competition - in Willaston has received a gold award in each of the last 3 years. The council also has representatives on ChALC and the local police cluster committee.	Map included in response
	Current Governance	Willaston Parish Council has been in existence for 125 years and has served the residents of the parish well throughout that time. It is a wholly democratic, non-political, organisation which encourages input from residents.	
	Change	It would make sense to make some minor changes to the parish boundary to tidy up historical anomalies. The construction of the A500 Shavington bypass has created a situation where new housing on the old Horseshoe pub site south of the A500 falls within Willaston Village, but some of the housing north of the A500 falls outside Willaston Village. The reconstructed Newcastle Road would form a natural boundary between Willaston and Stapeley and would remove that anomaly. Please see the attached map with suggested new boundary. Also, there are 12 seats on Willaston Parish Council, 10 in Willaston Village ward and 2 in Willaston North ward. A split of 9 and 3 respectively would be a better balance.	

Willaston - North- 4 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
As an individual	Example of good governance	Neighbourhood plan, green gap	
	No change	No open comments received	
As an individual	Example of good governance	Britain in Bloom Gold award winners for the past 3 years and Gilt award winners for the previous 4 years. Winners of the Best Kept Village award. Adopted the Red telephone box and converted it to a local free Book Box.	
	Current Governance	Our Parish Council are extremely pro-active in promoting the village having won various awards for maintenance of the village park, allotments, flowerbeds and trees etc. They also have a very close relationship with the local school and churches and other local groups.	
	Change	I would like to endorse all your previous examples ie " name of parish is appropriate " etc.	
As an individual	No change	No open comments received	
Willaston Parish Council	Example of good governance	Willaston Parish Council has always effectively managed its own children's playground and allotment site. It also maintains the village green (although this is owned by Cheshire East) as part of the annual North West in Bloom competition - in Willaston has received a gold award in each of the last 3 years. The council also has representatives on ChALC and the local police cluster committee.	Map included in response
	Current Governance	Willaston Parish Council has been in existence for 125 years and has served the residents of the parish well throughout that time. It is a wholly democratic, non political, organisation which encourages input from residents.	
	Change	The boundary between Willaston North ward and Wistaston Parish is confusing and does not make any real sense. We would propose a slight change to the boundary as highlighted on the attached map. Also, there are 12 seats on Willaston Parish Council, 10 in Willaston Village ward and 2 in Willaston North ward. A split of 9 and 3 respectively would provide a better balance, particularly given the new housing development at Moorfields which will fall within the north ward.	

Wilmslow - Dean Row- 2 representations received			
Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	I have no idea why we have a parish council It was much better when we were in the Macclesfield borough council . Seems Sandbach (the boss) is far away and the parish council has zero teeth	
	Change	Return to borough councils	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	WTC response to planning applications Wilmslow in Bloom	
	Current Governance	WTC is able to give a more detailed nuanced view on various matters	
	Change	Current northern boundary (River Dean) reflects historic boundary between Wilmslow & Handforth	

Wilmslow - East - 4 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Since Cheshire East was set up the governance of both themselves and parish councils has been reprehensible. There have been encouraging changes since the recent elections but it remains to be seen how this pans out.	
	Change	Proportional representation.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Not recently - there are usually pointless 'consultations' with residents which are just box ticking exercises and then the findings ignored.	
	Current Governance	Current governance ignores the views and wishes of local residents and votes along party lines.	
	Change	Appropriate name, size and population	
An individual	Current Governance	Road conditions and parking problems are ignored. Their reasonings/ plans, if any, are not made public.	
	Change	Nothing gets done and nothing changes	
An individual	Change	No open comments received	

Wilmslow - Lacey Green - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	No change	I've found the current Wilmslow Parrish to be effective. I feel I get what I pay for (regards to the precept) and worry that if change was to happen (in regards to a merge) that this would impact on services and house prices.	

Wilmslow - West - 11 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	The council has no powers. Particularly for very planning and parking/traffic issues	
	Unsure/ don't know if change is required	No open comments received	
An individual	Change	No open comments received	
An individual	Current Governance	I feel that Cheshire East is too far removed, out of touch, remote and does not have or understand the wishes and feelings of the residents of Wilmslow	
	Change	I did not vote for Cheshire East nor want Cheshire East. Cheshire East is too large and does not have Wilmslow's best interests in mind. Our councillors views are in the minority out of the whole of Cheshire East.	
An individual	Example of good governance	The revival of the Rex cinema. The Neighbourhood Plan. Cinema on the Carrs. The ability to talk and discuss with councillors	
	No change	Everything works well as it is.	
An individual	Example of good governance	No, exact opposite	
	Current Governance	Too many decisions still taken by Cheshire East. Not enough responsibility devolved to local councils.	
	Change	As stated, more responsibility for independent action to meet residents immediate concerns. Parking an example of this.	
An individual	Current Governance	Some seats had no competition, I also hear nothing from the town council as a general local resident	
	Change	Maybe we need more precept - certainly need to publicise what they do more - very unclear as to their role, remit and priorities	
An individual	Example of good governance	Love the Lane Facebook group	
	Current Governance	Our councillor is very good (engages with community etc) but still issues with parking etc that are more town/ county responsibilities	
	Change	I think the wards themselves are too big and appointing multiple councillors for each ward creates cross purposes and unclear responsibilities. Each ward should be smaller and have one councillor accountable	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Unsure/ don't know if change is required	No open comments received	
As an elected Cheshire East ward councillor	Example of good governance	Good community events such as the Christmas switch on and cinema in the park	
	Current Governance	The council functions well and is getting more involved in community issues such as getting the Rex Cinema re-opened.	
	Change	Chorley Parish council is within the ward and is a tiny council compared to parts of Wilmslow eg Dean Row. They could easily be merged with Wilmslow Town Council. To keep their identity and representation, the Cheshire East ward has two members and this could be split to give Chorley its own Cheshire East councillor.	
An individual	No change	No open comments received	
An individual	Current Governance	The Wilmslow Town Council has only existed for approx 8/9 years. It is doing a good job and no changes are required in my opinion	
	No change	Wilmslow Town Council has only existed for 8/9 years and is in my opinion doing a good job.	

Wirrall - No representation received

Wistaston - St Marys - 1 representations received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	No change	No open comments received	

Wistaston - Wells Grean - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Current Governance	Any action taken by the Parish Council is heavily weighted by what the Chairman thinks and wants, which is not necessarily what others might think or want	
	Change	No open comments received	

Wistaston - Wistaston - No representation received

Woolstanwood - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	Change	The Parish Council has resolved to request that its name be changed to Minshull Vernon, Leighton and Woolstanwood Parish Council. This is because, as it stands, the areas of Leighton and Woolstanwood are often unaware that the Parish Council covers this area. By changing its name, this will enable all residents of the three Parishes to understand the area that the Council covers. The Parish Council would also like to suggest a change to the boundaries of Leighton and Woolstanwood ward to incorporate the westerly side of Sunnybank Road, and land north of Pym's Lane and west of Minshull New Road (as shown on the attached plan). This will enable the boundaries to be along roads rather than behind houses or fields, thus creating a clearer demarcation.	Map included in response

Worleston - No representation received

Wrenbury Cum Frith - 1 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council	No Change	No comment received	

Wybunbury - 5 representation received

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	Provided & support a village hall	
	Current Governance	They support residents views & interests. Endeavour to resolve problems raised with them	
	Change	To resolve the boundary along the Newcastle Rd to provide a clear definable boundary that all can see & relate to rather than the current some are in one parish when next door neighbours can be in another. The section from Haymoor green Rd to & including Stock lane along the Newcastle Rd.	
An individual	Example of good governance	Planning responsibilities - not necessarily with the answer I would like!	
	Current Governance	My Parish Councillor is easy to contact, and provides me with answers to my queries. I do attend parish council meetings when I am well enough.	
	Change	WE need confirmation that the boundary between Wybunbury and Shavington parishes is clearly defined as Newcastle Road, with properties adjoining Newcastle Road are included within this boundary of Wybunbury.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
An individual	Example of good governance	The Parish Council has adopted a small patch of grass from CE, however they are unable to proceed with any changes due the the proximity of a watercourse and various issues with access. This patch of land is now a burden to the Parish Council rather than Cheshire East.	
	Current Governance	The Parish Council generally reflects local life and supports the village. There is a sense of disconnect with Cheshire East as the PC is keen to implement improvements to the locality but are hampered by Cheshire East officers and policy.	
	No Change	The Parish Council appears fit for purpose for the size and location of the population.	
An individual	Change	The current 1990 boundary is inappropriate for the current time in that it has several anomalies in the way in which it is drawn which leaves residents and others wondering in which parish does this lie. The property known as Sunberrie House , Newcastle Rd on the southern side of the Newcastle Road is the first anomaly in the 1990 parish boundary review in that it took it out of Wybunbury & put it in Shavington with all the land either side & south of it still in Wybunbury, this needs to be resolved in this review. Along the southern side of the Newcastle Road (Haymoor Green Rd/ Dig Lane) it weaves about following rear garden fence lines, which causes problems when properties are built outside rear fence lines or road fence lines as properties either side will be in a different parish to that. This is where a hard definable boundary is required, future proof, so it will not be effected by any future development. South of the Newcastle Road (Dig lane/Stock lane) again the parish boundary wanders its way south of the Newcastle road following various different lines (rear fence lines, none existent ditch lines etc), which since 1990 with the developments that have & are taking place, it has left neighbours in different parishes. This was foreseen at the time & comment was made by both parish councils at the time. The errors that were made in drawing the boundary in such a way considering that both parish councils were endeavouring to future proof the Newcastle Road parish boundary fairly & sensibly.	
On behalf of town/parish council	Example of good governance	We have developed our Wybunbury Combined Parishes Neighbourhood Plan from 2015-2020 with considerable input and involvement with our community, Hoosing Needs survey, Initial consultations, Village hall meetings, Getting residents to chair and be on the Neighbourhood plan steering group. As access to the countryside came top of our residents wish list, I organised a sub group of 8 residents to walk all 70 miles of our public footpaths and bridleways to report and recommend actions which are included in our Neighbourhood Plan. We have held public meetings when controversial planning applications have been raised, e.g. Hatherton Solar Farm.	
	Current Governance	We are the "hands on" in touch tier of local government which has direct links to the majority of our local community. Our councillors have considerable knowledge of the history of our parish and developments that have taken place.	
	No Change	We have responsibility for 2 large area rural parishes in South Cheshire, that of Hatherton and Walgherton, including remote farms and small hamlets with over 400 residents. 7 councillors represent Hatherton and 3 represent Walgherton. This is appropriate to cover the rural reach of the parishes. Current Precept equates to £17.56 for a band D property, which provides sufficient funds for the parish council to function and funds for budgeted parish improvements. There are 2 weekly charitable club activities which the council support for community wellbeing covering all ages. The grouping of our parishes in the Wybunbury ward helped in the construction of the Wybunbury combined parishes neighbourhood plan. However Hatherton and Walgherton are independent and have good working relations with all 6 of our neighbouring parishes with which we share a boundary. There is good synergy and sometimes better cooperation with close-by parishes outside the Wybunbury ward. We have an affinity with our local service centre- Audlem and we work with other parishes for the well being of our residents without regard for the ward boundary. We have reviewed our parish boundaries and name at our recent Parish Council meeting in January 2020 and confirmed that no changes are necessary.	

Who	Concerning	Response	Supporting Documents
On behalf of town/parish council		Summary of response (see supporting documents for full response): Sunberrie House, Newcastle Road should be incorporated back into Wybunbury, reversing the 1990 parish boundary review. A clear "hard definable boundary" is needed on the southern side of Newcastle road (Haymour Green Road/ Dig Lane) between Wybunbury and Shavington to avoid confusion for residents.	Full response

General response - no specific town/parish council 5 representations received			
As an individual	Current Governance	Too much on political line	
	Change	Should include existing Crewe parish and Wistaston Green Ward of Wistaston Parish Council, Woolstanwood Parish of Minshull Vernon & District. Leighton (Urban) ward of Minshull Vernon & District.join as one parish for Crewe would be more effective for Crewe, in general, parish councils are going be thing of the past.	
As an individual	Current Governance	Parish councils/ town councils seem to spend most of their time squandering public money. For example recent magazines which have been appearing. The impression given is that they cater for a small minority of residents. There is the risk of service duplication illustrating the need for one central council that being Cheshire East. As many residents are not well off it would make sense to reduce the budgets of the parish council and refund part of council tax set aside for this purpose. With a reduced council tax burden many people would be able to look after themselves better and not have to rely on food banks for their survival to some extent.	
	Change	Effective governance is more likely to be accomplished through one local council that being Cheshire East. It would also be indicated clearly who is responsible for services and encourage local people to take a greater interest as to who they elect to serve on the council, as a member Councillor it would stop the buck passing activities that go on, one council blaming the other. Also preventing over dominance of local members regarding decisions which are being made without the moderating influence of the central council.	
As an individual	Unsure/ don't know if change is required	No open comments given	
As an individual	Example of good governance	Multi-Councillor wards divided into Single-Councillor wards would go some way towards better reflecting local identities and interests. The best solution would seem to be the Single Transferable Vote (STV) system as used in Scotland, applied to our multi-Councillor wards.	
	Current Governance	The Councillors elected in multi-Councillor wards by the 'first-past-the-post' system do not (or do not appear to) in general reflect the identities and interests of the communities they represent. Multi-Councillor wards, where electors have a vote for each Councillor to be elected, almost invariably return an unrepresentative collection of Councillors who do not reflect the nuances of the ward's population and concerns. I will quote as examples of this some results of the 2019 parish council elections: Alderley Edge, Alsager TC Central, East and West wards, Congleton TC East and West wards, Crewe TC East, South and West wards, Middlewich TC Cledford and Kinderton wards, Nantwich North & West and South wards, and Poynton TC Poynton with Worth West and East wards.	

	Change	The present local election system will no doubt be defended as being 'democratic'. But the results it provides in many wards, as in those listed above, can only be termed un-democratic. If possible (legal) the STV voting system should be adopted for all councils, not only for multi-Councillor wards. If that is not possible, multi-Councillor wards should be divided into single-Councillor wards.	
On behalf of a group, organisation or club	Change	<p>Summary of response (see supporting documents for full response) Inclusion of a portion if not all of the Oakhanger parish ward into Alsager Town. Revision of the boundary between Winterley ward (Haslington) and Wheelock around Hollyfields and Elton lane, moving the Winterley boundary to incorporate the area south and east of the A534 (including Ashley Close). The unity of the Wychwood Park development under either the Weston parish, Chorlton parish or its own separate parish. The partition of the parish of Basford, allocating land east of the railway to Weston, the land west of the railway to Shavington but Casey lane and the south portion of Back lane to Chorlton. At the least, the two properties on the junction of Newcastle road with Back land and Casey lane should both be under Chorlton. Transfer of portion of the parish of Rope south of the railway line to Shavington. The portion north of the railway line should be incorporated into Wistaston, with exception for Rope Hall and the industrial premises on Tricketts lane which should go to Willaston. Considering the new Shavington Park development, the boundary with Wybunbury should be redrawn to run down the centre of Newcastle road from Blakelow Farm to the boundary with Hough parish. Area west of Capesthorpe Avenue should be allocated entirely in either Wistaston or Crewe. Alternatively, select properties on Ennerdale road, Keswick close and Ullswater Avenue should be part of Wistaston. Wistaston Avenue should be transferred to Crewe or select properties on Readesdale Avenue should be transferred into Wistaston. The entirety of Dane Bank Avenue should be incorporated into Crewe, also causing the need for the College Fields development to be included into Crewe. Area surrounding Bentley Motors currently in Woolstanwood should be transferred to Crewe as the area includes no residential properties. Furthermore, Woolstanwood should be partitioned along the railway line between Crewe and Leighton, the remainder possibly joining the parish of Wistaston. The portion of the Willaston parish separated by the A500 should be transferred either to Stapeley or Wybunbury. Conversely, the portion of Stapeley separated by the A500 should be transferred to Willaston. South Cheshire Labour Party also is in favour of several minor boundary alterations in the following areas: Haslington, Barthomley, Crewe Green, Hough & Chorlton, Shavington-cum-Gresty, Rope, Wistaston, Willaston, Wybunbury, Doddington & district</p>	Full Response